EUROPEAN AND MEDITERRANEAN PLANT PROTECTION ORGANIZATION ORGANISATION EUROPEENNE ET MEDITERRANEENNE POUR LA PROTECTION DES PLANTES (11-17239)

Summary sheet of validation data for a diagnostic test

The EPPO Standard PM 7/98 *Specific requirements for laboratories preparing accreditation for a plant pest diagnostic activity* describes how validation should be conducted. It also includes definitions of performance criteria.

Target Organism	Xylella fastidiosa		
Short description	detection of Xylella fastidiosa in composite samples of lavander		
Laboratory contact details	Institute for Sustainable Plant Protection via Amendola, 122/D, 70126 Bari, Italy		
Date and reference of the validation report	2019-03-11 - 2019-03-13 - G. Loconsole, L. Manco, O. Potere, L. Susca, G. Altamura, S. Zicca, D. Boscia, V. N. Savino, M. Saponari, 2018. Implementation of sampling procedures for testing composite samples for Xylella fastidiosa. POnTE - XF- ACTORS, 2nd Joint Annual Meeting: European Research on Emerging Plant Diseases. Valencia, 23-26 october 2018. Book of abstract: p. 63.		
Validation process according to EPPO Standard PM 7/98:	No		
Reference of the test description	0 The test was include in the last revised version of PM 7/24 (4), which is in consultation to the NPPO member countries		
Is the test the same as described in the EPPO DP?	Modified The preparation of the lavander samples is different from the description reported in the EPPO DP. Whereas the extraction of total nucleic acid and the qPCR test are reported in the EPPO DP.		
Is the lab accredited for this test?	Yes		
Plant species tested (if relevant)	Lavandula	a spp	
Matrices tested (if relevant)	shoots		
List of methods used	_		
Method for extraction / isolation / baiting of target organism from matrix	X	Total DNA were extracted from composite samples of lavander, prepared as reported in the attached additional file, by using: - CTAB-based protocol; - "Modified DNeasy MericonTM Food Standard Protocol" (Qiagen); - "Maxwell® RSC PureFood GMO and Authentication Kit" protocol (Promega)	
Molecular methods, e.g. hybridization, PCR and real time	х	qPCR following the condition reported in Appendix 5 - Realtime	

PCR

PCR (Harper et al., 2010; erratum 2013) in PM 7/24

		(3)		
Serological methods: IF, ELISA, Direct Tissue Blot Immuno Assay				
Plating methods: selective isolation				
Bioassay methods: selective enrichment in host plants, baiting, plant test and grafting.				
Pathogenicity test				
Fingerprint methods: protein profiling, fatty acid profiling & DNA profiling				
Morphological and morphometrical methods intended for identification				
Biochemical methods: e.g. enzyme electrophoresis, protein profiling				
Other				
Analytical sensitivity (= limit of detection)				
What is smallest amount of target that can be detected reliably?	2 portion of 2.5-3 cm/shoot excised from one plant, in 20 g of healthy shoots (pieces of 2.5-3 cm)			
Diagnostic sensitivity	_			
Proportion of infected/infested samples tested positive compared to results from the standard test , see appendix 2 of PM 7/98	100% on Mericon and Promega extracts; 67% on CTAB extracts			
Specify the standard test	Standard	tests reported in appendix 3 and 5 of PM 7/24 (3)		
Analytical specificity				
Specificity value				
Number of strains/populations of target organisms tested				
Number of non-target organisms				
tested				
tested Cross reacts with (specify the				
tested Cross reacts with (specify the species)	100%			
tested Cross reacts with (specify the species) Diagnostic Specificity Proportion of uninfected/uninfested samples (true negatives) testing negative compared to results from a		tests reported in appendix 3 and 5 of PM 7/24 (3)		
tested Cross reacts with (specify the species) Diagnostic Specificity Proportion of uninfected/uninfested samples (true negatives) testing negative compared to results from a standard test		tests reported in appendix 3 and 5 of PM 7/24 (3)		
tested Cross reacts with (specify the species) Diagnostic Specificity Proportion of uninfected/uninfested samples (true negatives) testing negative compared to results from a standard test Specify the standard test		tests reported in appendix 3 and 5 of PM 7/24 (3)		

Provide the calculated % of agreement for a given level of the pest (see PM 7/98)	100% using Mericon and Promega procedures; 86% using CTAB protocol		
Test performance study			
Test performance study?	No		
Include brief details of the test performance study and its output.It available, provide a link to published article/report			
Other information			
Any other information considered useful e.g. robustness, ease of performing the test, etc.	These validation data were obtained by IPSP-CNR in collaboration with the Department of Soil, Plant and Food Science of the University of Bari (ITAY). For any additional detail, see the attached file.		
The following complementary files are available online:	<u>composite samples of lavander</u>		