EUROPEAN AND MEDITERRANEAN PLANT PROTECTION ORGANIZATION ORGANISATION EUROPEENNE ET MEDITERRANEENNE POUR LA PROTECTION DES PLANTES (11-17239)

Summary sheet of validation data for a diagnostic test

The EPPO Standard PM 7/98 Specific requirements for laboratories preparing accreditation for a plant pest diagnostic activity describes how validation should be conducted. It also includes definitions of performance criteria.

| Target Organism | Bursaphelenchus xylophilus | | |
|--|--|----------------------|--|
| | | | |
| Short description | Identification of Bursaphelenchus xylophilus by species specific PCR | | |
| Laboratory contact details | Anses Plant Health Laboratory - Nematology Unit Domaine de la Motte au Viconte BP 35327, 35653 Le Rheu, France | | |
| Date and reference of the validation report | 2011-05 - Validation report may 2011 | | |
| Validation process according to EPPO Standard PM 7/98: | Yes | | |
| Reference of the test description | 0 PM7/04 (2) not included in appendix Matsunaga K. & Togashi K. (2005). A simple method for discriminating Bursaphelenchus xylophilus and B. mucronatus by species- specific polymerase chain reaction primers pairs. Nematology 6(2), 273-277. | | |
| Is the test the same as described in the EPPO DP? | No not included in appendix | | |
| Is the lab accredited for this test? | Yes | | |
| Plant species tested (if relevant) | | | |
| Matrices tested (if relevant) | Isolated nematodes | | |
| | | | |
| List of methods used | | | |
| Method for extraction / isolation / baiting of target organism from matrix | | | |
| Molecular methods, e.g. hybridization, PCR and real time PCR | Х | species specific PCR | |
| Serological methods: IF, ELISA, Direct Tissue Blot Immuno Assay | | | |
| Plating methods: selective isolation | | | |
| Bioassay methods: selective enrichment in host plants, baiting, plant test and grafting. | | | |
| Pathogenicity test | | | |

| Fingerprint methods: protein profiling, fatty acid profiling & DNA profiling | | | | |
|---|---|-----------------------------|--|--|
| Morphological and morphometrical methods intended for identification | | | | |
| Biochemical methods: e.g. enzyme electrophoresis, protein profiling | | | | |
| Other | | | | |
| Analytical sensitivity (= limit of detection) | | | | |
| What is smallest amount of target that can be detected reliably? | 5 nematodes | | | |
| Diagnostic sensitivity | | | | |
| Proportion of infected/infested samples tested positive compared to results from the standard test , see appendix 2 of PM 7/98 | 100% | | | |
| Specify the standard test | no standard test, samples artificially infested | | | |
| Analytical specificity | | | | |
| Specificity value | 100% | | | |
| Number of strains/populations of target organisms tested | 7 populations (for details see table 2 in validation report) | | | |
| Number of non-target organisms tested | 15 populations (for details see table 2 in validation report) | | | |
| Cross reacts with (specify the species) | none | | | |
| Diagnostic Specificity | Diagnostic Specificity | | | |
| Proportion of uninfected/uninfested samples (true negatives) testing negative compared to results from a standard test | | | | |
| Specify the standard test | | | | |
| <u>Reproducibility</u> | Reproducibility | | | |
| Provide the calculated % of agreement for a given level of the pest (see PM 7/98) | 100% for | 5 B. xylophilus individuals | | |
| <u>Repeatability</u> | | | | |
| Provide the calculated % of agreement for a given level of the pest (see PM 7/98) | 100% for | 5 B. xylophilus individuals | | |
| Test performance study | Test performance study | | | |
| Test performance study? | No | | | |
| Include brief details of the test performance study and its output.It available, provide a link to published article/report | | | | |

| Other information | |
|---|--|
| Any other information considered useful e.g. robustness, ease of performing the test, etc. | The full report is available upon request to the laboratory. |
| | |
| The following complementary files are available online: | <u>Table 2_comparison of different PCR tests</u> |