

EUROPEAN AND MEDITERRANEAN PLANT PROTECTION ORGANIZATION
ORGANISATION EUROPEENNE ET MEDITERRANEENNE POUR LA PROTECTION DES PLANTES
(11-17239)

Summary sheet of validation data for a diagnostic test

The EPPO Standard PM 7/98 *Specific requirements for laboratories preparing accreditation for a plant pest diagnostic activity* describes how validation should be conducted. It also includes definitions of performance criteria.

| | | |
|--|--|-------------------------------|
| Target Organism | Xanthomonas euvesicatoria Xanthomonas vesicatoria Xanthomonas perforans | |
| Short description | Isolation of Xanthomonas sp. from tomato and pepper seeds | |
| Laboratory contact details | Netherlands Institute for Vectors, Invasive plants and Plant health P.O. Box 9102, 6700 HC Wageningen, Netherlands | |
| Date and reference of the validation report | 26-01-2012 - Validation report for the isolation of Xanthomonas sp. from tomato and pepper seeds, Naktuinbouw, 2012 | |
| Validation process according to EPPO Standard PM 7/98: | Yes | |
| Reference of the test description | N/R McGuire, R.G., Jones, J.B., Sasser, M. (1986). Tween media for Semiselective Isolation of Xanthomonas campestris pv. vesicatoria from soil and plant material. Plant Disease 70, 887-891. Sijam, K., Chang, C.J., Gitaitis, R.D. (1991). An agar medium for the isolation and identification of Xanthomonas campestris pv. vesicatoria from seed. Phytopathology 81, 831-834. | |
| Is the test the same as described in the EPPO DP? | Modified No, this is a modification of the current EPPO DP | |
| Is the lab accredited for this test? | No | |
| Plant species tested (if relevant) | Seeds from tomato and sweet pepper | |
| Matrices tested (if relevant) | seed | |
| | | |
| List of methods used | | |
| Method for extraction / isolation / baiting of target organism from matrix | X | Isolation by dilution plating |
| Molecular methods, e.g. hybridization, PCR and real time PCR | | |
| Serological methods: IF, ELISA, Direct Tissue Blot Immuno Assay | | |
| Plating methods: selective isolation | X | Isolation by dilution plating |
| Bioassay methods: selective enrichment in host plants, baiting, plant test and grafting. | | |

| | | |
|---|--|--|
| Pathogenicity test | | |
| Fingerprint methods: protein profiling, fatty acid profiling & DNA profiling | | |
| Morphological and morphometrical methods intended for identification | | |
| Biochemical methods: e.g. enzyme electrophoresis, protein profiling | | |
| Other | | |
| <u>Analytical sensitivity (= limit of detection)</u> | | |
| What is smallest amount of target that can be detected reliably? | Detection limits were found to be acceptable with 53 CFU/ml (<i>X. euvesicatoria</i>), 24 CFU/ml (<i>X. vesicatoria</i>), 94 CFU/ml (<i>X. gardneri</i>) and 334 CFU/ml (<i>X. perforans</i>). | |
| <u>Diagnostic sensitivity</u> | | |
| Proportion of infected/infested samples tested positive compared to results from the standard test , see appendix 2 of PM 7/98 | | |
| Specify the standard test | | |
| <u>Analytical specificity</u> | | |
| Specificity value | Analytical specificity was good. The method was able to detect all tested isolates of the XCV species complex. The other tested seed borne pathogens <i>Clavibacter michiganensis</i> subsp. <i>michiganensis</i> and <i>Pseudomonas syringae</i> pv. <i>tomato</i> were not able to grow on the semi-selective media mMXV and mTMB. | |
| Number of strains/populations of target organisms tested | 14 <i>X. euvesicatoria</i> , two <i>X. gardneri</i> , six <i>X. perforans</i> and six <i>X. vesicatoria</i> isolates were selected for determination of analytical specificity of the dilution plating on the semi-selective media mMXV and mTMB. | |
| Number of non-target organisms tested | three isolates of <i>Clavibacter michiganensis</i> subsp. <i>michiganensis</i> and one isolate of <i>Pseudomonas syringae</i> pv. <i>tomato</i> | |
| Cross reacts with (specify the species) | no cross reaction | |
| <u>Diagnostic Specificity</u> | | |
| Proportion of uninfected/uninfested samples (true negatives) testing negative compared to results from a standard test | | |
| Specify the standard test | | |
| <u>Reproducibility</u> | | |
| Provide the calculated % of agreement for a given level of the pest (see PM 7/98) | 100% | |
| <u>Repeatability</u> | | |

| | |
|---|---|
| Provide the calculated % of agreement for a given level of the pest (see PM 7/98) | 100% |
| Test performance study | |
| Test performance study? | No |
| Include brief details of the test performance study and its output. If available, provide a link to published article/report | |
| Other information | |
| Any other information considered useful e.g. robustness, ease of performing the test, etc. | |
| | |
| The following complementary files are available online: | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Isolation of Xanthomonas sp. from tomato and papaya seeds |