EUROPEAN AND MEDITERRANEAN PLANT PROTECTION ORGANIZATION ORGANISATION EUROPEENNE ET MEDITERRANEENNE POUR LA PROTECTION DES PLANTES (11-17239)

Summary sheet of validation data for a diagnostic test

The EPPO Standard PM 7/98 *Specific requirements for laboratories preparing accreditation for a plant pest diagnostic activity* describes how validation should be conducted. It also includes definitions of performance criteria.

Target Organism	Clavibacter sepedonicus		
Short description	Detection of Clavibacter sepedonicus in potato extract by conventional PCR, in a multiplex assay with Ralstonia solanacearum		
Laboratory contact details	Bavarian State Research Center for Agriculture, Institute for Plant Protection - Phytopathology and Diagnosis Lange Point 10, 85354 Freising, Germany		
Date and reference of the validation report	2018-04-16 - n/a		
Validation process according to EPPO Standard PM 7/98:	Yes		
Reference of the test description	PM 7/059 Pastrik K-H (2000) Detection of Clavibacter michiganensis ssp. sepedonicus in potato tubers by multiplex PCR with coamplification of host DNA. Eur. J. Plant Pathol. 106, 155-165.		
Is the test the same as described in the EPPO DP?	Modified - PCR mastermix (Qiagen Multiplex PCR Plus Kit) - DNA extraction: MasterPure Complete DNA Purification kit (Lucigen) - Multiplex setup with primers Rs 1 F/R for Rs (Pastrik et al., 2002) - IPC after White et al., 1990 (primer NS7, NS8)		
Is the lab accredited for this test?	Yes		
Plant species tested (if relevant)	Solanum tuberosum		
Matrices tested (if relevant)	tuber extract		
List of methods used			
Method for extraction / isolation / baiting of target organism from matrix			
Molecular methods, e.g. hybridization, PCR and real time PCR	х	conventional PCR	
Serological methods: IF, ELISA, Direct Tissue Blot Immuno Assay			
Plating methods: selective isolation			
Bioassay methods: selective enrichment in host plants, baiting,			

plant test and grafting.				
Pathogenicity test				
Fingerprint methods: protein profiling, fatty acid profiling & DNA profiling				
Morphological and morphometrical methods intended for identification				
Biochemical methods: e.g. enzyme electrophoresis, protein profiling				
Other				
Analytical sensitivity (= limit of detection)				
What is smallest amount of target that can be detected reliably?	10^3 cells/ ml tuber extract			
Diagnostic sensitivity				
Proportion of infected/infested samples tested positive compared to results from the standard test , see appendix 2 of PM 7/98	not done			
Specify the standard test	n/a			
nalytical specificity				
Specificity value	100%			
Number of strains/populations of target organisms tested	6 LMG 2894 LMG 2889 NCPPB 3898 LMG 6722 NCPPB 2140 LMG 25595			
Number of non-target organisms tested	10 Clavibacter michiganensis subsp. michiganensis LMG 3687 Clavibacter michiganensis subsp. insidiosus LMG 7268 Pseudomonas syringae pv. striafaciens GSPB 2570 Pectobacterium atrosepticum SCRI 1039 Pectobacterium carotovorum subsp. carotovorum LMG 2401 Pectobacterium wasabiae DSM 18074 Pectobacterium carotovorum subsp. brasiliensis LMG 21371 Pectobacterium carotovorum subsp. odoriferum LMG 6688 Pectobacterium betavasculorum LMG 2466 Dickeya solani JKI			
Cross reacts with (specify the species)	none			
Diagnostic Specificity				
Proportion of uninfected/uninfested samples (true negatives) testing negative compared to results from a standard test	not done			
	-			

Specify the standard test	n/a	
Reproducibility		
Provide the calculated % of agreement for a given level of the pest (see PM 7/98)	100% for 10^3 cells/ ml (a total of 56 PCR reactions containing 10^3 samples (in 24 PCR runs, two different operators, different days), of which 56 were positive)	
<u>Repeatability</u>		
Provide the calculated % of agreement for a given level of the pest (see PM 7/98)	100% for 10 ³ cells/ ml (a total of 28 PCR reactions containing 10 ³ samples, in 12 PCR runs, each repeated once - same day, same operator -, of which 28 with the same result)	
Test performance study		
Test performance study?	Νο	
Include brief details of the test performance study and its output.It available, provide a link to published article/report		
Other information		
Any other information considered useful e.g. robustness, ease of performing the test, etc.		