## EUROPEAN AND MEDITERRANEAN PLANT PROTECTION ORGANIZATION ORGANISATION EUROPEENNE ET MEDITERRANEENNE POUR LA PROTECTION DES PLANTES (11-17239)

## Summary sheet of validation data for a diagnostic test

The EPPO Standard PM 7/98 *Specific requirements for laboratories preparing accreditation for a plant pest diagnostic activity* describes how validation should be conducted. It also includes definitions of performance criteria.

Target Organism	'Candidatus Liberibacter solanacearum'
Short description	Diagnostic protocol for the detection and identification of 'Candidatus Liberibacter solanacearum' in carrot seeds (DNA extraction method, real-time PCR and conventional PCR)
Laboratory contact details	Council for Agricultural Research and Economics- Research Centre for Plant Protection and Certification Via Carlo Giuseppe Bertero, 22, 00156 Rome, Italy
Date and reference of the validation report	2017-05-25 - Ilardi V., V. Lumia, E. Di Nicola, M. Tavazza, 2018. Identification, intra and inter-laboratory validation of a diagnostic protocol for 'Candidatus Liberibacter solanacearum' in carrot seeds. European Journal of Plant Pathology https://doi.org/10.1007/s10658-018-01606-w
Validation process according to EPPO Standard PM 7/98:	Yes
Reference of the test description	0 Ilardi V., V. Lumia, E. Di Nicola, M. Tavazza, 2018. Identification, intra and inter-laboratory validation of a diagnostic protocol for 'Candidatus Liberibacter solanacearum' in carrot seeds. European Journal of Plant Pathology https://doi.org/10.1007/s10658-018-01606-w
Is the test the same as described in the EPPO DP?	Modified Modified - DNA extraction was from Ilardi et al. (2018) European Journal of Plant Pathology https://doi.org/10.1007/s10658-018-01606-w - real-time PCR was a modification of Li et al. (2009) Journal of Microbiological Methods 78:59-65 Not modified - conventional PCR as reported by Ravindran et al., (2011) Plant Disease 95.12: 1542-1546.
Is the lab accredited for this test?	No
Plant species tested (if relevant)	Daucus carota (carrot)
Matrices tested (if relevant)	seed

List of methods used				
Method for extraction / isolation / baiting of target organism from matrix	X	The International Seed Federation (ISF, 2016) recommends testing samples of 20 g of Apiaceae seeds divided into two sub-samples of 10 g each. The ISF DNA extraction protocol (2016) was used with some modification. Seeds were washed by shaking them for 30 min in 0.5% Triton X-100 and, after several rinses, they were left to soften in		

		water overnight. The seeds were crushed with a mechanical homogenizer in heavy plastic bags (Bioreba) in 1:10 (w/v) of a modified Trimethylammonium bromide (CTAB) buffer (2,5% CTAB, NaCl 1.4 M, Tris-HCl 1 M pH 8.0, EDTA 0.5 M, pH 8.0, PVP-40 1%, 30 mM ascorbic acid). 400 µg of RNase A was added to 500 µl of homogenate (corresponding to 50 seeds), and after incubation at 65 °C for 30 min, total genomic DNA was extracted using a DNeasy Plant Mini Kit (Qiagen, Germany) following the manufacturer's instructions. DNA was eluted in 100 µl of AE buffer provided by the kit.
Molecular methods, e.g. hybridization, PCR and real time PCR	X	<ul> <li>Real-time PCR modified from Li et al. (2009) Journal of Microbiological Methods 78:59–65. The primers and probes were 'Candidatus Liberibacter spp. specific HLBr primer and HLBp probe, Ca. L. solanacearum specific LsoF primer.</li> <li>Deviations from the reference:</li> <li>PCR reagents (Universal master mix II no UNG -applied biosystem), each primer and probe concentrations (400nM and 150nM, respectively), DNA (1 μl) reaction volume (15μl). Amplification condition: 1 cycle 95°C/10 min, 45 cycles 95°C/15sec and 60°C/60 sec.</li> <li>conventional PCR as reported by Ravindran et al., (2011) Plant Disease 95.12: 1542-1546.</li> </ul>
Serological methods: IF, ELISA, Direct Tissue Blot Immuno Assay		
Plating methods: selective isolation		
Bioassay methods: selective enrichment in host plants, baiting, plant test and grafting.		
Pathogenicity test		
Fingerprint methods: protein profiling, fatty acid profiling & DNA profiling		
Morphological and morphometrical methods intended for identification		
Biochemical methods: e.g. enzyme electrophoresis, protein profiling		
Other		
Analytical sensitivity (= limit of deter	tion)	
What is smallest amount of target that can be detected reliably?	The limit of detection (LOD), calculated with the total DNA extract of CaLsol infected seeds, was of 10 <sup>-2</sup> and 10 <sup>-3</sup> dilution for the conventional and real-time PCR, respectively. For the real-time PCR, the LOD was also evaluated with purified pTXZC18 diluted with water. Five copies of the target were detected with Ct values of $34.57 \pm 0.428$ in 100% of the experiments (24/24)	

<u>Diagnostic sensitivity</u>	
Proportion of infected/infested samples tested positive compared to results from the standard test , see appendix 2 of PM 7/98	
Specify the standard test	Results from Test performance study with 11 laboratories: real-time PCR: 98.6% conventional PCR: 100%
Analytical specificity	
Specificity value	
Number of strains/populations of target organisms tested	32 target organisms were tested: 1. ISPAVE_VIb_1 'Berlicum' carrot seed infected by CaLsol haplotype E (llardi et al., 2016) 2. 4Flakkée 'Flakkée' CaLsol infected carrot seed 3. 5Maestro 'Maestro' CaLsol infected carrot seed 4. ISPAVE_VIb_6 'Nantese 3' carrot seed infected by CaLsol haplotype D (llardi et al., 2016) 5. 8Berlicum 'Berlicum2' CaLsol infected carrot seed 6. ISPAVE_VIb_9 'Berlicum 2' carrot seed infected by CaLsol haplotype E (llardi et al., 2016) 7. 10Berlicum 'Berlicum 2' CaLsol infected carrot seed 8. ISPAVE_VIb_11 'Falkkée' carrot seed infected by CaLsol haplotype D (llardi et al., 2016) 9. ISPAVE_VIb_15' Mezza lunga nantese' carrot seed infected by CaLsol haplotype E (llardi et al., 2016) 10. ISPAVE_VIb_17 'Berlicum' carrot seed infected by CaLsol haplotype D (llardi et al., 2016) 11. C-AV 'Nantese migliorata 2' CaLsol infected carrot seed 12. C1 carrot seed infected by CaLsol haplotype D 13. C2 carrot seed infected by CaLsol haplotype D 14. C3 carrot seed infected by CaLsol haplotype D 15. C4 carrot seed infected by CaLsol haplotype D 16. C5 carrot seed infected by CaLsol haplotype D 17. C6 carrot seed infected by CaLsol haplotype D 18. P4 parsley seed infected by CaLsol haplotype D 19. P1 parsley seed infected by CaLsol haplotype E 20. P2 parsley seed infected by CaLsol haplotype E 21. P3 parsley seed infected by CaLsol haplotype E 22. P 'Riccio Verde' CaLsol infected parsley seed 23. 2P 'Riccio Verde' CaLsol infected parsley seed 24. 3P 'Comune' CaLsol infected parsley seed 25. 4P 'Comune' CaLsol infected parsley seed 26. 5P 'Gigante' CaLsol infected parsley seed 27. 6P 'Gigante' CaLsol infected parsley seed 28. 7P 'Gigante' CaLsol infected parsley seed 29. P 1 SCS 'Gigante d'Italia' CaLsol infected parsley seed 20. P 4 SCS 'Gigante d'Italia' CaLsol infected parsley seed 20. P 4 SCS 'Gigante d'Italia' CaLsol infected parsley seed 21. P 5 SCS 'prezzemolo Comune 2' CaLsol infected parsley seed 23. C 7 SCS 'Riccio Muschiato' CaLsol infected parsley seed

	following samples were tested: C4 carrot seed infected by CaLsol haplotype D, ISPAVE_VIb_1 'Berlicum' carrot seed infected by CaLsol haplotype E, C-AV 'Nantese migliorata 2' CaLsol infected carrot seed, and for real-time PCR also CaLsol control pTXZC18 plasmid.
Number of non-target organisms tested	<ul> <li>37 non-target organisms were tested:</li> <li>1. 12Nantese2 'Nantese2' CaLsol free carrot seed</li> <li>2. 14Berlicum2 'Berlicum2' CaLsol free carrot seed</li> <li>3. 16LungaB. 'Lunga di Berlicum' CaLsol free parsley seed</li> <li>5. P 3 SCS 'Gigante di Napoli' CaLsol free parsley seed</li> <li>6. P 6 SCS 'Comune 2' CaLsol free parsley seed</li> <li>7. P-AV 'Gigante di Napoli' CaLsol free carrot seed</li> <li>9. 3Bolero 'Bolero F1' CaLsol free carrot seed</li> <li>10. 7Nantesa3 'Nantesa3' CaLsol free carrot seed</li> <li>11. 3Nantese2 'Nantese2' CaLsol free carrot seed</li> <li>11. 3Nantese2 'Nantese2' CaLsol free carrot seed</li> <li>12. S2 'Peros Rendy' CaLsol free celery seed</li> <li>13. Sa' Sedano D'Elne' CaLsol free celery seed</li> <li>14. F1 'Montebianco' CaLsol free celery seed</li> <li>15. F3 'Wadenromen ' CaLsol free fennel seed</li> <li>16. F4 'Romanesco' sel. Circeo CaLsol free fennel seed</li> <li>17. F-AV 'Wadenromen' CaLsol free fennel seed</li> <li>18. 1519 Pseudomonas fluorescens</li> <li>19. 1174 P. putida</li> <li>10. 1182 P. marginalis from chicory</li> <li>11. 146 P. syringae pv syringae from lemon</li> <li>12. 1001 Agrobacterium tumefaciens</li> <li>12. 123 Erwinia herbicola ISF438</li> <li>14. 103 Xantomonas campestris pv campestris from carbage</li> <li>25. 1049 Xantomonas arboricola pv corylina from turnip</li> <li>1240 Pectobacterium carotovora from artichoke</li> <li>1433 Pectobacterium from carrot seed</li> <li>38. Feri Phytoplasm stolbur (solani 165rXII-A)</li> <li>29. PAV 1 Unknown bacterium from carrot seed</li> <li>39. PAV 2 Unknown bacterium from carrot seed</li> <li>39. PAV 3 Unknown bacterium from carrot seed</li> <li>30. PAV 5 Unknown bacterium from carrot seed</li> <li>31. PAV 5 Unknown bacterium from carrot seed</li> <li>33. PAV 2 Unknown bacterium from carrot seed</li> <li>35. PAV 4 Unknown bacterium from carrot seed</li> <li>36. PAV 5 Unknown bacterium from carrot seed</li> <li>37. PAV 6 Unknown bacterium from carrot seed</li> <li>37. PAV 6 Unknown bacterium fro</li></ul>
Cross reacts with (specify the species)	None of them
Diagnostic Specificity	
Proportion of uninfected/uninfested	real-time PCR: 100%

samples (true negatives) testing negative compared to results from a standard test	conventional PCR: 81.5%
Specify the standard test	
<u>Reproducibility</u>	
Provide the calculated % of agreement for a given level of the pest (see PM 7/98)	real-time PCR: 100% conventional PCR: 100% calculated with the total DNA extract of CaLsol infected seeds at 10 <sup>-2</sup> and 10 <sup>-3</sup> dilution for the conventional and real- time PCR, respectively. For the real-time PCR, was also evaluated with Five copies of purified pTXZC18 diluted with water.
Repeatability	
Provide the calculated % of agreement for a given level of the pest (see PM 7/98)	real-time PCR: 100% conventional PCR: 100% 2 different operators for real-time PCR and 3 for conventional PCR. 2 different equipments for real-time PCR and 2 for conventional PCR. Calculated with the total DNA extract of CaLsol infected seeds at 10^-2 and 10^-3 dilution for the conventional and real-time PCR, respectively. For the real- time PCR, was also evaluated with Five copies of purified pTXZC18 diluted with water.
Test performance study	
Test performance study?	Yes
Include brief details of the test performance study and its output.It available, provide a link to published article/report	<ul> <li>Ilardi V. , V. Lumia, E. Di Nicola, M. Tavazza, 2018.</li> <li>Identification, intra and inter-laboratory validation of a diagnostic protocol for 'Candidatus Liberibacter solanacearum' in carrot seeds. European Journal of Plant Pathology https://doi.org/10.1007/s10658-018-01606-w</li> <li>TPS was performed by ten laboratories of the Italian Regional Plant Protection Service (IRPPS), widespread throughout the country, and CREA-DC-Rome laboratory. For real-time and conventional PCR, the oligonucleotides and reagents, including water, were sent to the participants. For the endpoint PCR and real-time PCR, Go Taq G2Flexi DNA polymerase (Promega) and TaqMan Universal Master Mix II (applied biosystem) were provided, respectively. Each sample was tested by the participants in triplicate (technical replicates). To test the DNA extraction protocol, CaLsol infected and CaLsol free seeds, were provided together with the buffers and the DNeasy Plant Mini Kit (Qiagen, Germany).</li> <li>real-time PCR Li et al., 2009</li> <li>Diagnostic specificity 100.0%</li> <li>Relative accuracy 99.0%</li> <li>Accordance 98.2%</li> <li>Concordance 98.0%</li> <li>COR* 1.11</li> </ul>

	end-point PCR Ravindran et al., 2011 Diagnostic sensitivity 100% Diagnostic specificity 81.5% Relative accuracy 88.9% Accordance 82.2% Concordance 80.0% COR* 1.15 seed DNA extract evaluated by real-time PCR Li et al., 2009 Diagnostic sensitivity 100.0% Diagnostic specificity 95.0% Relative accuracy 98.75% Accordance 97.81% Concordance 97.81% Concordance 97.5% COR* 1.14 seed DNA extract evaluated by end-point PCR Ravindran et al., 2011 Diagnostic sensitivity 90.74% Diagnostic specificity 100.0% Relative accuracy 93.82% Accordance 90.12% Concordance 88.20% COR* 1.22 *Concordance odds ratio= accordance x (100 – concordance)/concordance x (100 – accordance), to address the variability of the method within and between laboratories, calculated as indicated by ISO 16140:2003.
Other information	
Any other information considered useful e.g. robustness, ease of performing the test, etc.	