EUROPEAN AND MEDITERRANEAN PLANT PROTECTION ORGANIZATION ORGANISATION EUROPEENNE ET MEDITERRANEENNE POUR LA PROTECTION DES PLANTES (11-17239)

Summary sheet of validation data for a diagnostic test

The EPPO Standard PM 7/98 *Specific requirements for laboratories preparing accreditation for a plant pest diagnostic activity* describes how validation should be conducted. It also includes definitions of performance criteria.

Target Organism	Xylella fastidiosa subsp. pauca strain CoDiRO		
Short description	Detection of Xylella fastidiosa subsp. pauca ceppo CoDiRo from plant olive extracts by Conventional PCR according to Minsavage et al. (1994)		
Laboratory contact details	Council for Agricultural Research and Economics- Research Centre for Plant Protection and Certification Via Carlo Giuseppe Bertero, 22, 00156 Rome, Italy		
Date and reference of the validation report	2015-10-28 - Loreti S., Pucci N., Loconsole G., Modesti V, Lucchesi S.,Potere O., Saponari M 2017. Protocollo Diagnostico per XYLELLA FASTIDIOSA subsp. PAUCA ceppo CoDiRO. In Protocolli Diagnostici - ASPROPI- ISBN 9788899595722.pp. 241-278		
Validation process according to EPPO Standard PM 7/98:	Yes		
Reference of the test description	0		
Is the test the same as described in the EPPO DP?	Yes		
Is the lab accredited for this test?	No		
Plant species tested (if relevant)	Olea europea		
Matrices tested (if relevant)	leaves and petioles extracts		
List of methods used			
Method for extraction / isolation / baiting of target organism from matrix	Х	DNA extraction by following LoConsole et al. (2014) (procedure B)	
Molecular methods, e.g. hybridization, PCR and real time PCR	х	Conventional PCR according to Minsavage et al. (1994)	
Serological methods: IF, ELISA, Direct Tissue Blot Immuno Assay			
Plating methods: selective isolation			
Bioassay methods: selective enrichment in host plants, baiting, plant test and grafting.			
Pathogenicity test			

Fingerprint methods: protein profiling, fatty acid profiling & DNA profiling			
Morphological and morphometrical methods intended for identification			
Biochemical methods: e.g. enzyme electrophoresis, protein profiling			
Other			
Analytical sensitivity (= limit of detection	ction)		
What is smallest amount of target that can be detected reliably?	10^4 CFU/ml		
Diagnostic sensitivity			
Proportion of infected/infested samples tested positive compared to results from the standard test , see appendix 2 of PM 7/98	47%		
Specify the standard test	PCR according to Minsavage et al. (1994)		
Analytical specificity			
Specificity value			
Number of strains/populations of target organisms tested			
Number of non-target organisms tested			
Cross reacts with (specify the species)			
Diagnostic Specificity			
Proportion of uninfected/uninfested samples (true negatives) testing negative compared to results from a standard test	100%		
Specify the standard test	PCR according to Minsavage et al. (1994)		
Reproducibility			
Provide the calculated % of agreement for a given level of the pest (see PM 7/98)	(Concordance) 85%		
<u>Repeatability</u>			
Provide the calculated % of agreement for a given level of the pest (see PM 7/98)	(Accordance) 91%		
Test performance study			
Test performance study?	Yes		
Include brief details of the test performance study and its output.It available, provide a link to published article/report	1. Two series of olive extracts spiked with ten fold dilution of Xylella fastidiosa CODiRo strain suspensions from 10^7 to 10 cfu/ml plus two healthy samples (16 samples in total) were tested in three different laboratories (CREA-PAV; CNR-IPSP;		

Plant Protection Service Lombardy) (NTC, healthy and infe olive extracts as control) for analytical sensitivity. 2. To check the diagnostic sensitivity and specificity, the accuracy, the repeatability and reproducibility, olive extra	
 samples spiked with Xylella fastidiosa CODiRo strain suspensions at 10~6 cfu /ml (three repetitions), 10~4 cfu (three repetitions), 10~3 cfu /ml (three repetitions), healt olive extracts (three repetitions) for a total of 12 samples, were tested by the following TPS participants : 1. CREA-DC (N. Pucci; S. Loreti) 2. SELGE/CNR-IPSP/ DISSPA-Uniba (M. Saponari, G. Locons O. Potere) 3. PPS Piemonte (C. Morone, G. Mason) 4. PPS Friuli Venezia Giulia (G. Bianchi) 5. PPS Lombardia (F. Gaffuri) 6. PPS Emilia Romagna (A. Alessandrini; R. Gozzi) 7. PPS Trentino Alto Adige (V. Gualandri; L. Tessari) 8. PPS Marche (S. Nardi; S. Talevi) 9. PPS Liguria (M. Gueffi) 10. CIHEAM-IAMB (A.M. D'Onghia; M. Digiaro) 11. CRSFA (F. Palmisano) 12. Centro di Sperimentazione Agraria e Forestale, Laimbu (A. Galimetzer,A. Kraus) 13. Uni-MI (P. Casati) 14. Uni-CT (V. Catara) 15. PPS Toscana (D. Rizzo) 16. PPS Veneto (A. Saccardi; D. Pasqua di Bisceglie) Olive extract samples spiked with Xylella fastidiosa CODIR strain suspensions at 10^6 cfu /ml (three repetitions), 10° cfu /ml (three repetitions), 10^3 cfu /ml (three repetitions), 10° cfu /ml (Hree repetitions), 10^3 cfu /ml (three repetitions), 10° cfu /ml (Hree repetitions), 10^3 cfu /ml (M. Saponari, G. Locons 0. Potere) 3. PPS Diemonte (C. Morone, G. Mason) 4. PPS Finuil Venezia Giulia (G. Bianchi) 5. PPS Lombardia (F. Gaffuri) 6. PPS Veneto (A. Alessandrini; R. Gozzi) 7. PPS Timuti Nenzandia (G. Galanchi) 5. PPS Emilia Romagna (A. Alessandrini; R. Gozzi) 7. PPS Timuti Alto Adige (V. Gualandri; L. Tessari) 8. PPS Marche (S. Nardi; S. Talevi) 9. PPS Emilia Romagna (A. Alessandrini; R. Gozzi) 7. PPS Timuti Alto Adige (V. Gualandri; L. Tessari) 	/ml yy ble; rg 64 , ble;
	rg
15. PPS Toscana (D. Rizzo) 16. PPS Veneto (A. Saccardi; D. Pasqua di Bisceglie)	
Other information	
Any other information considered Accuracy: 92% useful Accuracy: 92%	
e.g. robustness, ease of performing the test, etc.	