## EUROPEAN AND MEDITERRANEAN PLANT PROTECTION ORGANIZATION ORGANISATION EUROPEENNE ET MEDITERRANEENNE POUR LA PROTECTION DES PLANTES (11-17239)

## Summary sheet of validation data for a diagnostic test

The EPPO Standard PM 7/98 Specific requirements for laboratories preparing accreditation for a plant pest diagnostic activity describes how validation should be conducted. It also includes definitions of performance criteria.

Target Organism	Candidatus Phytoplasma prunorum - European stone fruit yellows (ESFY) phytoplasma		
Short description	Detection of 'Candidatus Phytoplasma prunorum' by real time PCR		
Laboratory contact details	Council for Agricultural Research and Economics- Research Centre for Plant Protection and Certification Via Carlo Giuseppe Bertero, 22, 00156 Rome, Italy		
Date and reference of the validation report	2013 - 1) www.strateco.it 2)Pasquini et al., 2013. Petria 23(3),491-516		
Validation process according to EPPO Standard PM 7/98:	Yes		
Reference of the test description	N/R -Baric S., J. Dalla-Via, 2004. A new approach to apple proliferation detection: a highly sensitive real-time PCR assay. Journal of Microbiological Methods, 57, 135-145 Pignatta D., C. Poggi Pollini, L. Giunchedi, M. Gobber, P. Morelli, F. Forno, L. Martedì, E. Ropelato, 2008. A Real-time PCR assay for the detection of European stone fruit yellows phytoplasma (ESFYP) in plant propagation material. Acta Horticulturae, 781, 499-503 - Minguzz i S., C. Ratti, C. Lanzoni, C. Rubies Autonell, N. Reggiani, C. Poggi Pollini, 2010. Detection and relative quantification of 'Candidatus Phytoplasma prunorum' by spot real-time RT-PCR TaqMan assay. Petria, 20 (2), 219-220; -Osman F., C. Leutenegger, D. Golino, A. Rowhani, 2007. Real-time RT-PCR (Taq-Man) assays for the detection of Grapevine leafroll associated virus 1-5 and 9. Journal of Virological Methods, 141, 22-29 Pasquini G., Bertaccini A., Bianco P.A., Casati P., Costantini E., Martini M., Marzachì C., Palmano S., Paltrinieri S., 2013. Protocollo diagnostico per 'Candidatus Phytoplasma prunorum'. Petria 23 (3), 491-516		
Is the test the same as described in the EPPO DP?			
Is the lab accredited for this test?	No		
Plant species tested (if relevant)	apricot, plum, peach, apple and pear species		
Matrices tested (if relevant)	leaf midribs and bark		
Link of weatherds was d			
List of methods used	1		
Method for extraction / isolation / baiting of target organism from matrix	X	Commercial kit (DNeasy Plant Mini kit Qiagen) from leaf midribs or phloem tissue, previously powdered with liquid nitrogen. An alternative protocol has	

		been used in the case of not availability of liquid nitrogen for the initial powdering of plant material. (Pasquini et al., 2013)	
Molecular methods, e.g. hybridization, PCR and real time PCR	Х	TaqMan real time PCR specific for the detection and identification of 'Ca. P. prunorum' and an internal control (18S gene)	
Serological methods: IF, ELISA, Direct Tissue Blot Immuno Assay			
Plating methods: selective isolation			
Bioassay methods: selective enrichment in host plants, baiting, plant test and grafting.			
Pathogenicity test			
Fingerprint methods: protein profiling, fatty acid profiling & DNA profiling			
Morphological and morphometrical methods intended for identification			
Biochemical methods: e.g. enzyme electrophoresis, protein profiling			
Other			
Analytical sensitivity (= limit of detection)			
What is smallest amount of target that can be detected reliably?	The analytical sensitivity was calculated analyzing three samples at seven diluition levels (1/1-1/1.000.000). The dilutions were in DNA from an healthy peach sample. Last dilution level with 100% positive results for all three samples: 1/1000 for bark samples collected in collected in early spring and 1/100 leaf midribs samples collected in late summer		
Diagnostic sensitivity			
Proportion of infected/infested samples tested positive compared to results from the standard test, see appendix 2 of PM 7/98			
Specify the standard test			
Analytical specificity	ī		
Specificity value			
Number of strains/populations of target organisms tested			
Number of non-target organisms tested			
Cross reacts with (specify the species)			
Diagnostic Specificity			
Proportion of uninfected/uninfested samples (true negatives) testing negative compared to results from a			

standard test		
Specify the standard test		
Reproducibility		
Provide the calculated % of agreement for a given level of the pest (see PM 7/98)		
Repeatability		
Provide the calculated % of agreement for a given level of the pest (see PM 7/98)		
Test performance study		
Test performance study?	Yes	
Include brief details of the test performance study and its output.It available, provide a link to published article/report	ffff	
Other information		
Any other information considered useful e.g. robustness, ease of performing the test, etc.		