EUROPEAN AND MEDITERRANEAN PLANT PROTECTION ORGANIZATION ORGANISATION EUROPEENNE ET MEDITERRANEENNE POUR LA PROTECTION DES PLANTES Summary sheet of validation data for a diagnostic test

The EPPO Standard PM 7/98 Specific requirements for laboratories preparing accreditation for a plant pest diagnostic activity describes how validation should be conducted. It also includes definitions of performance criteria.

| Laboratory contact details | Council for Agricultural Research and Economics- Research Centre for Plant Protection and Certification Via Carlo Giuseppe Bertero, 22, 00156 Rome, Italy |
|---|--|
| Short description of the test | Intralaboratory validation of Ceratocystis platani real-time PCR in wood of Platanus x acerifolia |
| Date, reference of the validation report | 2025-04-21 - Intralaboratory validation of Ceratocystis platani_Real-Time PCR_EvaGreen (corresponding Pilotti M.) |
| Validation process according to EPPO Standard PM7/98? | yes |
| Is the lab accredited for this test? | yes |
| Was the validated data generated in the framework of a project? | Other_project |
| If yes, please specify | ARNADIA (MIPAAF Project) |
| | |
| Description of the test | |
| | |
| Organism(s) | Ceratocystis platani (CERAFP) |
| Detection / identification | detection |
| Method(s) | Molecular real time PCR |
| Method: Molecular real time PCR | |
| Reference of the test description | |
| As or adapted from an EPPO diagnostic protocol | yes |
| EPPO Diagnostic Protocol name | PM 7/014 Ceratocystis fimbriata f. sp. platani (version 2) |
| Name of the test | Real-time PCR (Pilotti et al., 2012) Test version 1: intercalating dye (EvaGreen) |
| Is the test modified compared to the reference test | no |
| Kit | |
| Is a kit used | no |
| Other information | |
| Reaction type | Simplex |

| Performance Criteria : | | |
|---|--|--|
| Organism 1.: | Ceratocystis platani(CERAFP) | |
| Analytical sensitivity | | |
| What is smallest amount of target that can be detected reliably? | 3 fg per PCR reaction | |
| Diagnostic sensitivity | | |
| Proportion of infected/infested samples tested positive compared to results from the standard test, see appendix 2 of PM 7/98 | 100% | |
| Standard test(s) | Comparison with samples of known status | |
| Analytical specificity - inclusivity | | |
| Number of strains/populations of target organisms tested | see Pilotti et al., 2012 | |
| Specificity value | 100% | |
| Analytical specificity - exclusivity | | |
| Number of non-target organisms tested | see Pilotti et al., 2012 | |
| Specificity value | 100% | |
| Diagnostic Specificity | | |
| Proportion of uninfected/uninfested samples (true negatives) testing negative compared to results from a standard test | 100% | |
| Specify the test(s) | Comparison with samples of known status | |
| Reproducibility | | |
| Provide the calculated % of agreement for a given level of the pest (see PM 7/98) | 100% % - evaluated by two different operators; each operator performed three different experiment testing 8 replicates at the LOD (3 fg) | |
| Repeatability | | |
| Provide the calculated % of agreement for a given level of the pest (see PM 7/98) | 100% - evaluated on 8 replicates at the LOD (3 fg). The result was also confirmed by testing the gDNA of five additional C. platani strains, each in a single experiment performed by one operator, at the limit of detection and with eight replications. | |
| Test performance study | | |
| Test performance study? | no | |
| Other information | | |
| Any other information considered useful | These data has been published in Pilotti et al (2012) and in Lumia et al (2018) | |
| | | |
| The following complementary files are available online: | <u>Pilotti et al 2012</u> <u>Lumia et al 2018</u> | |

Creation date: 2025-04-21 19:20:50 - Last update: 2025-04-22 19:12:24