EUROPEAN AND MEDITERRANEAN PLANT PROTECTION ORGANIZATION ORGANISATION EUROPEENNE ET MEDITERRANEENNE POUR LA PROTECTION DES PLANTES Summary sheet of validation data for a diagnostic test

The EPPO Standard PM 7/98 Specific requirements for laboratories preparing accreditation for a plant pest diagnostic activity describes how validation should be conducted. It also includes definitions of performance criteria.

Laboratory contact details	Anses Plant Health Laboratory - Bacteriology, Virology and GMO Unit 7 rue Jean Dixméras, 49044 Angers, France	
Short description of the test	Detection and Identification of Xylella fastidiosa subspecies on plant extracts by real time PCR Dupas et al., 2019	
Date, reference of the validation report	2021-09-16 - Dupas et al., 2019 report version 01	
Validation process according to EPPO Standard PM7/98?	yes	
Is the lab accredited for this test?	no	
Was the validated data generated in the framework of a project?	no	
Description of the test		
Organism(s)	Xylella fastidiosa(XYLEFA)	
Detection / identification	detection and identification	
Method(s)	Molecular Extraction DNA RNA Molecular real time PCR	
Method: Molecular Extraction DNA RNA		
Reference of the test description		
As or adapted from an EPPO diagnostic protocol	yes	
New test being considered for inclusion in the next version of the EPPO diagnostic protocol?	no	
EPPO Diagnostic Protocol name	PM 7/024 Xylella fastidiosa (version 4)	
As or adapted from an IPPC diagnostic protocol	yes	
IPPC diagnostic Protocol name	ISPM 27 Annex 25 DP 25: Xylella fastidiosa (version 2018)	
Name of the test	QuickPick SML Plant DNA kit (Bio-Nobile)	
Is the test modified compared to the reference test	no	
Kit		
Is a kit used	yes	

Manufacturer name	BIONOBILE	
Specify the kit used	QuickPick™ SML Plant DNA	
Kit used following the manufacturer's instructions?	yes	
Other information		
Method: Molecular real time PCR		
Reference of the test description		
As or adapted from an EPPO diagnostic protocol	no	
New test being considered for inclusion in the next version of the EPPO diagnostic protocol?	yes	
As or adapted from an IPPC diagnostic protocol	no	
Reference of the test	Dupas et al., 2019	
Is the test modified compared to the reference test	no	
Kit		
Is a kit used	no	
Other information		
Reaction type	Multiplex (>3)	
Other details on the test	A cut-off value of 38 is applied	
Performance Criteria :		
Organism 1.:	Xylella fastidiosa(XYLEFA)	
<u>Analytical sensitivity</u>		
What is smallest amount of target that can be detected reliably?	XF Harper with set N°5 (on Polygala myrtifolia, Lavandula sp. and Helichrysum italicum): 10^5 cells/mL XFFSL with set N°5 (on Polygala myrtifolia, Lavandula sp. and Helichrysum italicum): 10^5 cells/mL XFM with set N°5: Not evaluated XFP with set N°5: not evaluated	
Diagnostic sensitivity		
Proportion of infected/infested samples tested positive compared to results from the standard test, see appendix 2 of PM 7/98	XF Harper with set N°5 : 92% (on pure DNA extract) – 100% (on 10 fold diluted DNA for 1 sample. /12) XFM with set N°5 : 89% XFP with set N°5 : 100% XFFSL with set N°5 : 100%	
Standard test(s)	MLST based on the conventional PCR Yuan et al., 2010	
Analytical specificity - inclusivity		
Number of strains/populations of target organisms tested	Cf. attached file "Rapport de validation Dupas et al"	
Specificity value	100% Cf. attached file "Rapport de validation Dupas et al"	
<u>Analytical specificity - exclusivity</u>		

Number of non-target organisms tested	Cf. attached file "Eclusivity"	
Specificity value	100% except for primers / probe XFF for the set N° 2 (non-specific amplification observed with the strain of Xanthomonas hortorum pv. carotae LNPV 17.76) Cf. details in attached file "Eclusivity"	
Cross reacts with	Xanthomonas hortorum pv. carotae	
Diagnostic Specificity		
Proportion of uninfected/uninfested samples (true negatives) testing negative compared to results from a standard test	XF Harper with set N°5 : 100% XFM with set N°5 : 100% XFP with set N°5 : 100% XFFSL with set N°5 : 100%	
Specify the test(s)	MLST based on the conventional PCR Yuan et al., 2010	
Reproducibility		
Provide the calculated % of agreement for a given level of the pest (see PM 7/98)	Not evaluated	
Repeatability		
Provide the calculated % of agreement for a given level of the pest (see PM 7/98)	XF Harper with set N°5 : 97% XFM with set N°5 : 98% XFP with set N°5 : 100% XFFSL with set N°5 : 100%	
Test performance study		
Test performance study?	no	

Creation date: 2021-09-16 15:43:39 - Last update: 2021-11-24 16:07:13