## EUROPEAN AND MEDITERRANEAN PLANT PROTECTION ORGANIZATION ORGANISATION EUROPEENNE ET MEDITERRANEENNE POUR LA PROTECTION DES PLANTES Summary sheet of validation data for a diagnostic test

The EPPO Standard PM 7/98 Specific requirements for laboratories preparing accreditation for a plant pest diagnostic activity describes how validation should be conducted. It also includes definitions of performance criteria.

| Laboratory contact details                                      | Anses Plant Health Laboratory - Pests and Tropical Pathogens Unit Pôle de Protection des Plantes, 7 Chemin de l'IRAT, 97410 Saint Pierre, France  |
|---|---|
| Short description of the test                                   | Detection and identification of 'Candidatus<br>Liberibacter asiaticus' and 'Candidatus Liberibacter<br>africanus' by Molecular duplex conventional PCR in<br>Citrus sp. leaves                        |
| Date, reference of the validation report                        | 2020-07-10 - HLB_qPCR_EUPHRESCO-2016-A-232  |
| Link to other validation data                                   | - HLB_qPCR_EUPHRESCO-2016-A-232 Detection and identification of 'Candidatus Liberibacter asiaticus' and 'Candidatus Liberibacter africanus' by Molecular duplex conventional PCR in Citrus sp. leaves |
| Validation process according to EPPO Standard PM7/98?           | yes   |
| Is the lab accredited for this test?                            | no  |
| Was the validated data generated in the framework of a project? | Euphresco   |
| If yes, please specify  | 2016-A-232  |
| Description of the test   |   |
| Organism(s)   | 'Candidatus Liberibacter asiaticus' (LIBEAS)<br>'Candidatus Liberibacter africanus' (LIBEAF)  |
| Detection / identification                                      | detection and identification  |
| Method(s)   | Molecular Conventional PCR  |
| Method: Molecular Conventional PCR                              |   |
| Reference of the test description                               |   |
| As or adapted from an EPPO diagnostic protocol                  | no  |
| As or adapted from an IPPC diagnostic protocol                  | no  |
| Reference of the test   | Conventional PCR targeting 16S rRNA gene (according to Teixeira et al., 2005a,b) duplexed with the conventional PCR targeting rplKAJL – rpoBC operon gene (according to Hocquellet et al., 1999)      |
|   |   |

| Is the test modified compared to the reference test   | yes Two conventional PCR were duplexed                                |  |
|---|---|--|
| Kit   |   |  |
| Is a kit used   | no  |  |
| Other information   |   |  |
| Reaction type   | Duplex  |  |
| Are the performance characteristics included in the EPPO diagnostic protocol?   | no  |  |
| Performance Criteria :  |   |  |
| Organism 1.:  | 'Candidatus Liberibacter asiaticus'(LIBEAS)                           |  |
| Diagnostic sensitivity  |   |  |
| Proportion of infected/infested samples tested positive compared to results from the standard test, see appendix 2 of PM 7/98 | 0.868 (DSE=PA/N+, Fav. Hypothesis, considering CLas and CLaf samples) |  |
| Diagnostic Specificity  |   |  |
| Proportion of uninfected/uninfested samples (true negatives) testing negative compared to results from a standard test        | 1 (DSP=NA/N-, Fav. Hypothesis, considering CLas and CLaf samples)     |  |
| Reproducibility   |   |  |
| Provide the calculated % of agreement for a given level of the pest (see PM 7/98)   | CO=0.952 (considering CLas and CLaf samples)                          |  |
| Repeatability   |   |  |
| Provide the calculated % of agreement for a given level of the pest (see PM 7/98)   | DA=1 (considering CLas and CLaf samples)                              |  |
| Organism 2.:  | 'Candidatus Liberibacter africanus'(LIBEAF)                           |  |
| Diagnostic sensitivity  |   |  |
| Proportion of infected/infested samples tested positive compared to results from the standard test, see appendix 2 of PM 7/98 | 0.868 (DSE=PA/N+, Fav. Hypothesis, considering CLas and CLaf samples) |  |
| Diagnostic Specificity  |   |  |
| Proportion of uninfected/uninfested samples (true negatives) testing negative compared to results from a standard test        | 1 (DSP=NA/N-, Fav. Hypothesis, considering CLas and CLaf samples)     |  |
| Reproducibility   |   |  |
| Provide the calculated % of agreement for a given level of the pest (see PM 7/98)   | CO=0.952 (considering CLas and CLaf samples)                          |  |
| Repeatability   |   |  |
| Provide the calculated % of agreement for a given level of the pest (see PM 7/98)   | DA=1 (considering CLas and CLaf samples)                              |  |
| Test performance study  |   |  |
| Test performance study?   | yes   |  |
| Brief details of the test performance study   | Test performance study organized in the                               |  |

| and its output.It available, link to published article/report | framework of a EUPHRESCO project involving 8 international laboratories.   |
|---|--|
| Other information   |  |
| Any other information considered useful                       | Publication available at: https://link.springer.com/content/pdf/10.1007/s10658-020-02052-3.pdf Cellier, G., C. Redondo, J. Cubero, M. Roselló, E. de Andrade, L. Cruz, E. Ince, H. N. Yildiz, P. G. Güler, A. M. D'Onghia, T. Yaseen, K. Djelouah, E. Metz-Verschure, F. Gaffuri, R. A. Gottsberger, and B. Giovani. 2020. "Comparison of the performance of the main real-time and conventional PCR detection tests for 'Candidatus Liberibacter' spp., plant pathogenic bacteria causing the Huanglongbing disease in Citrus spp." European Journal of Plant Pathology. doi: 10.1007/s10658-020-02052-3. |

Creation date: 2020-08-18 15:46:10 - Last update: 2020-09-14 15:06:18