EUROPEAN AND MEDITERRANEAN PLANT PROTECTION ORGANIZATION
ORGANISATION EUROPEENNE ET MEDITERRANEENNE POUR LA PROTECTION DES PLANTES
Summary sheet of validation data for a diagnostic test

The EPPO Standard PM 7/98 *Specific requirements for laboratories preparing accreditation for a plant pest diagnostic activity* describes how validation should be conducted. It also includes definitions of performance criteria.

Laboratory contact details	Anses Plant Health Laboratory - Pests and Tropical Pathogens Unit Pôle de Protection des Plantes, 7 Chemin de l'IRAT, 97410 Saint Pierre, France
Short description of the test	Detection and identification of 'Candidatus Liberibacter asiaticus' and 'Candidatus Liberibacter africanus' by Molecular real time PCR (PLANT PRINT) in Citrus sp. leaves
Date, reference of the validation report	2020-07-10 - HLB_qPCR_EUPHRESCO-2016-A-232
Link to other validation data	- HLB_qPCR_EUPHRESCO-2016-A-232 Detection and identification of 'Candidatus Liberibacter asiaticus' and 'Candidatus Liberibacter africanus' by Molecular real time PCR (PLANT PRINT) in Citrus sp. leaves
Validation process according to EPPO Standard PM7/98?	yes
Is the lab accredited for this test?	no
Was the validated data generated in the framework of a project?	Euphresco
If yes, please specify	2016-A-232
Description of the test	
Organism(s)	'Candidatus Liberibacter africanus' (LIBEAF) 'Candidatus Liberibacter asiaticus' (LIBEAS)
Detection / identification	detection and identification
Method(s)	Molecular Extraction DNA RNA Molecular real time PCR
Method: Molecular Extraction DNA RNA	
Reference of the test description	
Kit	
Is a kit used	yes
Manufacturer name	QIAGEN
Specify the kit used	DNeasy Plant Mini Kit
Kit used following the manufacturer's instructions?	yes DNA extraction was performed on ground citrus leaves using the DNeasy Plant Mini Kit (Qiagen, 1 / 4 Germantown, MD, USA) following the

	manufacturer’s recommendations.
Other information	
Other details on the test	Ground using a HOMEX 6 homogenizer (Bioreba AG, Reinach, Switzerland) with 5 mL of extraction buffer (pH = 8): 50 mM Sigma 7–9® TRIS (Merck KGaA, Darmstadt, Germany); 5 mM EDTA (Merck KGaA); and 1%sodium dodecyl sulfate (Merck KGaA)
Method: Molecular real time PCR	
Reference of the test description	
As or adapted from an EPPO diagnostic protocol	no
As or adapted from an IPPC diagnostic protocol	no
Is the test modified compared to the reference test	no
Kit	
Is a kit used	yes
Manufacturer name	PLANT PRINT
Specify the kit used	Liberibacter’ spp. (Huanglongbing-HLB citrus disease). Rapid screening test
Kit used following the manufacturer's instructions?	yes
Other information	
Reaction type	Simplex - Probe
Other details on the test	Real-time PCR targeting 16S rRNA gene (according to Bertolini et al. (2010, 2014))
Are the performance characteristics included in the EPPO diagnostic protocol?	**no**
Performance Criteria :	
Organism 1.:	**'Candidatus Liberibacter africanus'(LIBEAF)**
Analytical sensitivity	
What is smallest amount of target that can be detected reliably?	
Diagnostic sensitivity	
Proportion of infected/infested samples tested positive compared to results from the standard test, see appendix 2 of PM 7/98	1 (DSE=PA/N+, Fav. Hypothesis, considering CLas and CLaf samples)
Diagnostic Specificity	
Proportion of uninfected/uninfested samples (true negatives) testing negative compared to results from a standard test	0.679 (DSP=NA/N-, Fav. Hypothesis, considering CLas and CLaf samples)
Reproducibility	
Provide the calculated % of agreement for a	CO=0.889 (considering CLas and CLaf samples)

given level of the pest (see PM 7/98)	
Repeatability	
Provide the calculated % of agreement for a given level of the pest (see PM 7/98)	DA=1 (considering CLas and CLaf samples)
Organism 2.:	**'Candidatus Liberibacter asiaticus'(LIBEAS)**
Analytical sensitivity	
What is smallest amount of target that can be detected reliably?	
Diagnostic sensitivity	
Proportion of infected/infested samples tested positive compared to results from the standard test, see appendix 2 of PM 7/98	1 (DSE=PA/N+, Fav. Hypothesis, considering CLas and CLaf samples)
Analytical specificity - exclusivity	
Number of non-target organisms tested	
Specificity value	
Diagnostic Specificity	
Proportion of uninfected/uninfested samples (true negatives) testing negative compared to results from a standard test	0.679 (DSP=NA/N-, Fav. Hypothesis, considering CLas and CLaf samples)
Reproducibility	
Provide the calculated % of agreement for a given level of the pest (see PM 7/98)	CO=0.889 (considering CLas and CLaf samples)
Repeatability	
Provide the calculated % of agreement for a given level of the pest (see PM 7/98)	DA=1 (considering CLas and CLaf samples)
Test performance study	
Test performance study?	yes
Brief details of the test performance study and its output.It available, link to published article/report	Test performance study organized in the framework of a EUPHRESCO project involving 8 international laboratories.
Other information	
Any other information considered useful	Publication available at: https://link.springer.com/content/pdf/10.1007/s10658-020-02052-3.pdf Cellier, G., C. Redondo, J. Cubero, M. Roselló, E. de Andrade, L. Cruz, E. Ince, H. N. Yildiz, P. G. Güler, A. M. D'Onghia, T. Yaseen, K. Djelouah, E. Metz-Verschure, F. Gaffuri, R. A. Gottsberger, and B. Giovani. 2020. "Comparison of the performance of the main real-time and conventional PCR detection tests for 'Candidatus Liberibacter' spp., plant pathogenic bacteria causing the Huanglongbing disease in Citrus spp." European Journal of Plant Pathology. doi: 10.1007/s10658-020-02052-3.

Creation date: 2020-08-18 15:39:44 - Last update: 2020-09-14 15:07:15