## EUROPEAN AND MEDITERRANEAN PLANT PROTECTION ORGANIZATION ORGANISATION EUROPEENNE ET MEDITERRANEENNE POUR LA PROTECTION DES PLANTES Summary sheet of validation data for a diagnostic test

The EPPO Standard PM 7/98 Specific requirements for laboratories preparing accreditation for a plant pest diagnostic activity describes how validation should be conducted. It also includes definitions of performance criteria.

Laboratory contact details	Dutch General Inspection Service (NAK) Randweg 14, 8304AS Emmeloord, Netherlands
Short description of the test	Qualitative detection of viability and identification of Globodera pallida en G. rostochiensis
Date, reference of the validation report	2014-07-01 - Qualitative detection of Globodera spp. viability version 2
Validation process according to EPPO Standard PM7/98?	yes
Is the lab accredited for this test?	no
Was the validated data generated in the framework of a project?	no
Description of the test	
Organism(s)	Globodera pallida (HETDPA) Globodera rostochiensis (HETDRO)
Detection / identification	detection and identification
Method(s)	Molecular real time RT PCR
Method: Molecular real time RT PCR	
Reference of the test description	
As or adapted from an EPPO diagnostic protocol	yes
EPPO Diagnostic Protocol name	PM 7/040 Globodera rostochiensis and Globodera pallida (version 4)
Name of the test	RNA-specific real-time PCR (Beniers et al., 2014)
As or adapted from an IPPC diagnostic protocol	no
Is the test modified compared to the reference test	yes The test is based on the article of Beniers et al. 2014 but some changes have been made (another internal control is used and the extraction method is automated).
Other information	
Performance Criteria :	
Organism 1.:	Globodera pallida(HETDPA)
Analytical sensitivity	

···· · · · · · · · · ·		
What is smallest amount of target that can be detected reliably?	1 viable juveniles or egg	
Diagnostic sensitivity		
Proportion of infected/infested samples tested positive compared to results from the standard test, see appendix 2 of PM 7/98	G. pallida: 100%	
Standard test(s)	Bulman & Marshall (1997), Van de Vossenberg (2014)	
Analytical specificity - inclusivity		
Number of strains/populations of target organisms tested	8 strains of G. pallida (Pa2 WUR 248, Pa2 Averis 2013, Pa3 Ecnavornay, Pa3 Rookmaker 2009, Pa3 Rookmaker 2013, Pa3 Averis 2013)	
Specificity value	100%	
Analytical specificity - exclusivity		
Number of non-target organisms tested	6 non-target organisms were tested (Heterodera betae, Heterodera glycines, Heterodera schachtii, Heterodera trifolii, Globodera tabacum, Cactodera cacti)	
Specificity value	100% No cross reactions with other organisms	
Diagnostic Specificity		
Proportion of uninfected/uninfested samples (true negatives) testing negative compared to results from a standard test	G. pallida: 100%	
Specify the test(s)	Visual determination	
Reproducibility	-	
Provide the calculated % of agreement for a given level of the pest (see PM 7/98)	Reproducibility: 100%	
Repeatability		
Provide the calculated % of agreement for a given level of the pest (see PM 7/98)	Repeatability: 100%	
Organism 2.:	Globodera rostochiensis(HETDRO)	
Analytical sensitivity		
What is smallest amount of target that can be detected reliably?	1 viable juveniles or egg	
Diagnostic sensitivity	-	
Proportion of infected/infested samples tested positive compared to results from the standard test, see appendix 2 of PM 7/98	G. rostochiensis: 100 %	
Standard test(s)	Bulman & Marshall (1997), Van de Vossenberg (2014)	
Analytical specificity - inclusivity	•	
Number of strains/populations of target organisms tested	6 strains of G. rostochiensis (Ro1 SCRI, Ro1 Mierenbos, Ro2,3 C262, Ro2,3 PRI 2013, Ro4 F515, Ro5 G1518)	

Specificity value	100%
Analytical specificity - exclusivity	
Number of non-target organisms tested	6 non-target organisms were tested (Heterodera betae, Heterodera glycines, Heterodera schachtii, Heterodera trifolii, Globodera tabacum, Cactodera cacti)
Specificity value	100% - No cross reactions with other organisms
Diagnostic Specificity	
Proportion of uninfected/uninfested samples (true negatives) testing negative compared to results from a standard test	G. rostochiensis: 100%
Specify the test(s)	Visual determination
Reproducibility	
Provide the calculated % of agreement for a given level of the pest (see PM 7/98)	Reproducibility: 100%, using 20 samples inlcuding a sample with low level (12) of vialble eggs
Repeatability	
Provide the calculated % of agreement for a given level of the pest (see PM 7/98)	Repeatability: 100%, using 20 samples inlcuding a sample with low level (12) of vialble eggs
Test performance study	
Test performance study?	
	no
Other information	no
	No Various KingFisher apparatus and ABI 7500 apparatus did not influence the outcome of the tests. The above described method will be applied in a qualitative way at the NAK. The new test has been applied to 184 field samples that were analysed by the old and the new method. No false- negative results were found. The new test found 7 positive results that were not detected with the old method. Overview of comparison between a previous used non-published DNA PCR and the RT- PCR of Beniers et al.(2014) for a set of 184 routine samples. Results are for G. pallida and G. rostochiensis,. Green markings are added to indicate the positive and negative agreement. G. pallida G. rostochiensis Beniers RT-PCR Beniers RT- PCR + $-\Sigma$ + $-\Sigma$ Old DNA PCR + 134 2 136 + 40 2 42 - 7 41 48 - 0 142 142 $\Sigma$ 141 43 184 $\Sigma$ 40 144 184

Creation date: 2015-04-20 00:00:00 - Last update: 2022-04-29 16:16:43