

EUROPEAN AND MEDITERRANEAN PLANT PROTECTION ORGANIZATION
ORGANISATION EUROPEENNE ET MEDITERRANEEENNE POUR LA PROTECTION DES PLANTES
Summary sheet of validation data for a diagnostic test

The EPPO Standard PM 7/98 *Specific requirements for laboratories preparing accreditation for a plant pest diagnostic activity* describes how validation should be conducted. It also includes definitions of performance criteria.

Laboratory contact details	EUPHRESKO-GRAFDEPI Via Carlo Giuseppe Bertero, 22, 00156 ROMA, Italy
Short description of the test	Simoultaneous detection of FD and BN phytoplasmas by multiplex nested-PCR
Date, reference of the validation report	2014-07-31 - Project EUPHRESKO GRAFDEPI Final Report - 1) http://www.euphresco.net/media/project_reports/grafdepi_final_report.pdf 2)The Euphresco Grafdepi Group, 2015. European interlaboratory comparison of detection methods for "flavescence dorée" phytoplasma: preliminary results. Phytopathogenic Mollicutes doi: 10.5958/2249- 4677.2015.00015.8 Vol. 5 (1-Supplement), January 2015, S35-S37
Validation process according to EPPO Standard PM7/98?	yes
Is the lab accredited for this test?	no
Was the validated data generated in the framework of a project?	Euphresco
If yes, please specify	GRAFDEPI
Description of the test	
Organism(s)	Grapevine flavescence dorée phytoplasma (PHYP64)
Detection / identification	detection
Method(s)	Molecular Conventional PCR
Method: Molecular Conventional PCR	
Reference of the test description	
As or adapted from an EPPO diagnostic protocol	yes
EPPO Diagnostic Protocol name	PM 7/079 Grapevine flavescence dorée phytoplasma (version 1)
Name of the test	Multiplex nested-PCR for simultaneous FD and BN detection (Clair et al. 2003)
As or adapted from an IPPC diagnostic protocol	no
Other information	

Reaction type	Multiplex (>3) - Nested
Other details on the test	Multiplex with a direct PCR with primers: - FD9f1/r1 and STOL11f2/1r1 followed by a nested PCR with primers: - FD9f3b/FD9r2 - STOL11f3/STOL11r2
Are the performance characteristics included in the EPPO diagnostic protocol?	no
Performance Criteria :	
Organism 1.:	Grapevine flavescence dorée phytoplasma(PHYP64)
<u>Analytical sensitivity</u>	
What is smallest amount of target that can be detected reliably?	The analytical sensitivity was calculated in five laboratories analyzing three samples at five dilution levels (1/10; 1/100; 1/300; 1/900; 1/2700) in five repetitions. Samples (DNA extracts) came from IPEP (Serbia), ACW (Switzerland) and ANSES (France). The homogenising and preparation were performed by ANSES-LSV (France) Two values are provided: The last dilution level with 100% positive results: less than 1/10 (for all samples) The last dilution level with, at least, one positive result for each sample: 1/900 (Sample A) 1/2700 (Samples B and C)
<u>Diagnostic sensitivity</u>	
Proportion of infected/infested samples tested positive compared to results from the standard test, see appendix 2 of PM 7/98	13 laboratories were involved in performing this method within the ringtest. The results of one Partner have been removed because all samples were positive although the test was repeated and the controls were compliant. Determined in 11 samples positive for Flavescence dorée phytoplasma. They were DNA extracts of Vitis sp. tested positive by PCR for FD pure or mixed with different quantities of healthy grapevine or mixed with DNA extracts positive for the 16SrXII group phytoplasmas. Within the ringtest 7 diagnostic methods were compared. Diagnostic sensitivity: 83.72% False negative: (28/288) 9.7%
Standard test(s)	Other protocols included in the ringtest: - Detection of Flavescence dorée phytoplasma by universal direct PCR and nested 16SrV-group specific PCR - Detection and identification of Flavescence dorée phytoplasma by direct and nested PCR followed by RFLP with Taq I (Martini et al., 1999) - Simplex real time PCR for the detection of FD and BN phytoplasmas with an internal control for grapevine (Angelini et al., 2007) - Simplex real time PCR for the detection of FD and BN phytoplasmas with an internal control - (Hren et al., 2007) - Triplex real-time PCR for simultaneous FD and BN phytoplasmas detection with an internal control for grapevine. (Pelletier et al., 2009) - Triplex real time PCR for simultaneous FD and BN phytoplasmas detection with an internal control - (under patent IPADLAB)

Diagnostic Specificity	
Proportion of uninfected/uninfested samples (true negatives) testing negative compared to results from a standard test	The method was performed by 13 laboratories within the ringtest, but the result of one partner has been removed because all samples were positive although the test was repeated and the controls were compliant. The total analysed results was 288. 13 non target samples: 4 healthy grapevines and 9 were other phytoplasmas of 16SrV group and phytoplasmas from other groups. Diagnostic specificity: 92.38%
Specify the test(s)	Other protocols included in the ringtest: - Detection of Flavescence dorée phytoplasma by universal direct PCR and nested 16SrV-group specific PCR - Detection and identification of Flavescence dorée phytoplasma by direct and nested PCR followed by RFLP with Taq I (Martini et al., 1999) - Simplex real time PCR for the detection of FD and BN phytoplasmas with an internal control for grapevine (Angelini et al., 2007) - Simplex real time PCR for the detection of FD and BN phytoplasmas with an internal control - (Hren et al., 2007) - Triplex real-time PCR for simultaneous FD and BN phytoplasmas detection with an internal control for grapevine. (Pelletier et al., 2009) - Triplex real time PCR for simultaneous FD and BN phytoplasmas detection with an internal control - (under patent IPADLAB)
Reproducibility	
Provide the calculated % of agreement for a given level of the pest (see PM 7/98)	The reproducibility was calculated in five laboratories analyzing three samples at five dilution levels (1/10; 1/100; 1/300; 1/900; 1/2700) in five repetitions. Samples (DNA extracts) came from IPEP (Serbia), ACW (Switzerland) and ANSES (France). The homogenising and preparation were performed by ANSES-LSV (France). Reproducibility: 60.19%
Repeatability	
Provide the calculated % of agreement for a given level of the pest (see PM 7/98)	The repeatability was calculated in five laboratories analyzing three samples at five dilution levels (1/10; 1/100; 1/300; 1/900; 1/2700) in five repetitions. Samples (DNA extracts) came from IPEP (Serbia), ACW (Switzerland) and ANSES (France). The homogenising and preparation were performed by ANSES-LSV (France) Repeatability: 92.53%
Test performance study	
Test performance study?	yes
Brief details of the test performance study and its output. It available, link to published article/report	Interlaboratory comparison among 15 laboratories within the EUPHRESKO Project GRAFDEPI (CRA-PAV, Italy; AGES, Austria; CRA-W, Belgium, PPRS, Turkey; INIAV, Portugal; ACW, Switzerland; ILVO, Belgium; DIPSA, Bologna Italy; DISAA, Milan Italy; IPEP, Serbia; NIB, Slovenia; IRTA, Spain; ANSES, France; Cra-VIT, Italy)

Other information	
Any other information considered useful	The ringtest was carried out by 15 laboratories and it is not possible to state if any of them is accredited for this test.
The following complementary files are available online:	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • EUPHRESKO-GRAFDEPI Samples for determination of performance criteria

Creation date: 2015-02-09 00:00:00 - Last update: 2021-05-17 17:30:44