EUROPEAN AND MEDITERRANEAN PLANT PROTECTION ORGANIZATION ORGANISATION EUROPEENNE ET MEDITERRANEENNE POUR LA PROTECTION DES PLANTES Summary sheet of validation data for a diagnostic test

The EPPO Standard PM 7/98 Specific requirements for laboratories preparing accreditation for a plant pest diagnostic activity describes how validation should be conducted. It also includes definitions of performance criteria.

Laboratory contact details	Anses Plant Health Laboratory - Bacteriology, Virology and GMO Unit 7 rue Jean Dixméras, 49044 Angers, France	
Short description of the test	Detection of ToCV by RT-PCR in tomato leaves	
Date, reference of the validation report	2011-07-01 - Loiseau M. et Cousseau P. 2011. Evaluation des méthodes de détection des jaunisses de la tomate – Tomato Infectious Chlorosis Virus (TICV) Tomato Chlorosis Virus (ToCV)	
Validation process according to EPPO Standard PM7/98?	no	
Is the lab accredited for this test?	no	
Was the validated data generated in the framework of a project?		
Description of the test		
Organism(s)	Crinivirus tomatichlorosis (TOCV00)	
Detection / identification	detection	
Method(s)	Extraction Molecular Extraction DNA RNA Molecular Conventional RT PCR	
Method: Extraction		
Reference of the test description		
Other information		
Other details on the test	For the RNA extraction, leaf samples was grinded in the RLT buffer (qiagen)	
Method: Molecular Extraction DNA RNA		
Reference of the test description		
Kit		
Is a kit used	yes	
Manufacturer name	QIAGEN	
Specify the kit used	RNeasy Mini Kit	
Kit used following the manufacturer's instructions?		
Other information		

Other details on the test	RNA was extracted with the Plant RNeasy minikit from Qiagen. R
Method: Molecular Conventional RT PCR	
Reference of the test description	
As or adapted from an EPPO diagnostic protocol	no
As or adapted from an IPPC diagnostic protocol	no
Reference of the test	Jacquemond M., Verdin E., Dalmon A., Guilbaud L., Gognalons P., 2009. Serological and molecular detection of Tomato chlorosis virus and Tomato infectious chlorosis virus in tomato. Plant pathology 58:210-220. Louro D., Accotto G.P., Vaira A.M., 2000. Occurrence and diagnosis of Tomato chlorosis virus in Portugal. European Journal of Plant Pathology, 106: 589-592
Other information	
Other details on the test	RT-PCR tests were carried out following the recommendation of the paper of Jacquemond et al (2009) et Louro et al (2000)
Are the performance characteristics included in the EPPO diagnostic protocol?	no
Performance Criteria :	
Organism 1.:	Crinivirus tomatichlorosis(TOCV00)
Analytical sensitivity	
Analytical sensitivity	
Analytical sensitivity What is smallest amount of target that can be detected reliably?	Not relevant
<u>Analytical sensitivity</u> What is smallest amount of target that can be detected reliably? <u>Diagnostic sensitivity</u>	Not relevant
Analytical sensitivity What is smallest amount of target that can be detected reliably? Diagnostic sensitivity Proportion of infected/infested samples tested positive compared to results from the standard test, see appendix 2 of PM 7/98	Not relevant Simplex RT-PCR (Louro, 2000): 86.67% to 88.89%; Duplex RT-PCR (Jacquemond, 2009): 83.3%; Triplex Rt-PCR (with Cox) (Jacquemond, 2009): 49.02% to 54.9%
Analytical sensitivity What is smallest amount of target that can be detected reliably? Diagnostic sensitivity Proportion of infected/infested samples tested positive compared to results from the standard test, see appendix 2 of PM 7/98 Analytical specificity - inclusivity	Not relevant Simplex RT-PCR (Louro, 2000): 86.67% to 88.89%; Duplex RT-PCR (Jacquemond, 2009): 83.3%; Triplex Rt-PCR (with Cox) (Jacquemond, 2009): 49.02% to 54.9%
Analytical sensitivityWhat is smallest amount of target that can be detected reliably?Diagnostic sensitivityProportion of infected/infested samples tested positive compared to results from the standard test, see appendix 2 of PM 7/98Analytical specificity - inclusivityNumber of strains/populations of target organisms tested	Not relevant Simplex RT-PCR (Louro, 2000): 86.67% to 88.89%; Duplex RT-PCR (Jacquemond, 2009): 83.3%; Triplex Rt-PCR (with Cox) (Jacquemond, 2009): 49.02% to 54.9% 15 (see table as separate file or full validation report for detail)
Analytical sensitivity What is smallest amount of target that can be detected reliably? Diagnostic sensitivity Proportion of infected/infested samples tested positive compared to results from the standard test, see appendix 2 of PM 7/98 Analytical specificity - inclusivity Number of strains/populations of target organisms tested Specificity value	Not relevant Simplex RT-PCR (Louro, 2000): 86.67% to 88.89%; Duplex RT-PCR (Jacquemond, 2009): 83.3%; Triplex Rt-PCR (with Cox) (Jacquemond, 2009): 49.02% to 54.9% 15 (see table as separate file or full validation report for detail)
Analytical sensitivity What is smallest amount of target that can be detected reliably? Diagnostic sensitivity Proportion of infected/infested samples tested positive compared to results from the standard test, see appendix 2 of PM 7/98 Analytical specificity - inclusivity Number of strains/populations of target organisms tested Specificity value Analytical specificity - exclusivity	Not relevant Simplex RT-PCR (Louro, 2000): 86.67% to 88.89%; Duplex RT-PCR (Jacquemond, 2009): 83.3%; Triplex Rt-PCR (with Cox) (Jacquemond, 2009): 49.02% to 54.9% 15 (see table as separate file or full validation report for detail)
Analytical sensitivityWhat is smallest amount of target that can be detected reliably?Diagnostic sensitivityProportion of infected/infested samples tested positive compared to results from the standard test, see appendix 2 of PM 7/98Analytical specificity - inclusivityNumber of strains/populations of target organisms testedSpecificity valueAnalytical specificity - exclusivityNumber of non-target organisms tested	Not relevant Simplex RT-PCR (Louro, 2000): 86.67% to 88.89%; Duplex RT-PCR (Jacquemond, 2009): 83.3%; Triplex Rt-PCR (with Cox) (Jacquemond, 2009): 49.02% to 54.9% 15 (see table as separate file or full validation report for detail) 22 (see table as separate file or full validation report for detai
Analytical sensitivityWhat is smallest amount of target that can be detected reliably?Diagnostic sensitivityProportion of infected/infested samples tested positive compared to results from the standard test, see appendix 2 of PM 7/98Analytical specificity - inclusivityNumber of strains/populations of target organisms testedSpecificity valueAnalytical specificity - exclusivityNumber of non-target organisms testedSpecificity valueSpecificity value	Not relevant Simplex RT-PCR (Louro, 2000): 86.67% to 88.89%; Duplex RT-PCR (Jacquemond, 2009): 83.3%; Triplex Rt-PCR (with Cox) (Jacquemond, 2009): 49.02% to 54.9% 15 (see table as separate file or full validation report for detail) 22 (see table as separate file or full validation report for detai Cross reaction observed with the triplex method
Analytical sensitivityWhat is smallest amount of target that can be detected reliably?Diagnostic sensitivityProportion of infected/infested samples tested positive compared to results from the standard test, see appendix 2 of PM 7/98Analytical specificity - inclusivityNumber of strains/populations of target organisms testedSpecificity valueAnalytical specificity - exclusivityNumber of non-target organisms testedSpecificity valueDiagnostic SpecificityDiagnostic Specificity	Not relevant Simplex RT-PCR (Louro, 2000): 86.67% to 88.89%; Duplex RT-PCR (Jacquemond, 2009): 83.3%; Triplex Rt-PCR (with Cox) (Jacquemond, 2009): 49.02% to 54.9% 15 (see table as separate file or full validation report for detail) 22 (see table as separate file or full validation report for detai Cross reaction observed with the triplex method
Analytical sensitivity What is smallest amount of target that can be detected reliably? Diagnostic sensitivity Proportion of infected/infested samples tested positive compared to results from the standard test, see appendix 2 of PM 7/98 Analytical specificity - inclusivity Number of strains/populations of target organisms tested Specificity value Analytical specificity - exclusivity Number of non-target organisms tested Specificity value Diagnostic Specificity Proportion of uninfected/uninfested samples (true negatives) testing negative compared to results from a standard test	Not relevant Simplex RT-PCR (Louro, 2000): 86.67% to 88.89%; Duplex RT-PCR (Jacquemond, 2009): 83.3%; Triplex Rt-PCR (with Cox) (Jacquemond, 2009): 49.02% to 54.9% 15 (see table as separate file or full validation report for detail) 22 (see table as separate file or full validation report for detai Cross reaction observed with the triplex method Simplex RT-PCR (Louro, 2000): 100%; Duplex RT- PCR (Jacquemond, 2009): 100%; Triplex Rt-PCR (with Cox) (Jacquemond, 2009): 97.5% to 100%

Test performance study?	no
The following complementary files are available online:	 List of target strains and non-target organisms Loiseau M. et Cousseau P. 2011. Evaluation des méthodes de détection des jaunisses de la tomate – Tomato Infectious Chlorosis Virus (TICV) Tomato Chlorosis Virus (ToCV)

Creation date: 2012-05-15 00:00:00 - Last update: 2021-05-10 16:29:07