EUROPEAN AND MEDITERRANEAN PLANT PROTECTION ORGANIZATION ORGANISATION EUROPEENNE ET MEDITERRANEENNE POUR LA PROTECTION DES PLANTES Summary sheet of validation data for a diagnostic test

The EPPO Standard PM 7/98 Specific requirements for laboratories preparing accreditation for a plant pest diagnostic activity describes how validation should be conducted. It also includes definitions of performance criteria.

Research Centre for Plant Protection and Certification Via Carlo Giuseppe Bertero, 22, 00156 Rome, Italy Short description of the test Detection of Xylella fastidiosa subsp. pauca ceppo CDIRo from plant olive extracts by Conventional PCR according to Minsavage et al. (1994) Date, reference of the validation report 2015-10-28 - Loreit S., Pucci N., Loconsole G., Modesti V, Lucchesi S., Potero O., Saponari M 2017. Protocollo Diagnostici per XYLELLA FASTIDIOSA subsp. PAUCA ceppo CoDIRO. In Protocolli Diagnostici - ASPROPI- ISBN 9788899595722.pp. 241-278 Validation process according to EPPO Standard PM7/98? Is the lab accredited for this test? No Was the validated data generated in the framework of a project? If yes, please specify Description of the test Organism(s) Xylella fastidiosa(XYLEFA) Detection / identification detection Matrix(ces) tested Leaves leaves and petioles extracts Olea europaea Method(s) Molecular Extraction DNA RNA Molecular Extraction DNA RNA Molecular Conventional PCR Method: Molecular Extraction DNA RNA Reference of the test description As or adapted from an EPPO diagnostic protocol New test being considered for inclusion in the next version of the EPPO diagnostic protocol As or adapted from an IPPC diagnostic protocol? As or adapted from an IPPC diagnostic protocol		
CoDiRo from plant olive extracts by Conventional PCR according to Minsavage et al. (1994) Date, reference of the validation report 2015-10-28 - Loreti S., Pucci N., Loconsole G., Modesti V, Lucchesi S., Potere O., Saponari M 2017. Protocollo Diagnostico per XYLELLA FASTIDIOSA subsp. PAUCA ceppo CoDiRO. In Protocolli Diagnostici - ASPROPI- ISBN 9788899595722.pp. 241-278 Validation process according to EPPO Standard PM7/98? Is the lab accredited for this test? Was the validated data generated in the framework of a project? If yes, please specify Description of the test Organism(s) Xylella fastidiosa(XYLEFA) Detection / identification Matrix(ces) tested Leaves leaves and petioles extracts Olea europaea Method(s) Molecular Extraction DNA RNA Molecular Conventional PCR Method: Molecular Extraction DNA RNA Reference of the test description As or adapted from an EPPO diagnostic protocol? As or adapted from an IPPC diagnostic protocol?	Laboratory contact details	Research Centre for Plant Protection and Certification
Modesti V, Lucchesi S, Potere O., Saponari M 2017. Protocollo Diagnostico per XYLELLA FASTIDIOSA subsp. PAUCA ceppo CoDIRO. In Protocolli Diagnostici - ASPROPI- ISBN 9788899595722.pp. 241-278 Validation process according to EPPO Standard PM7/98? Is the lab accredited for this test? In o Was the validated data generated in the framework of a project? If yes, please specify Description of the test Organism(s) Xylella fastidiosa(XYLEFA) Detection / identification Matrix(ces) tested Plant species tested Method(s) Method(s) Method: Molecular Extraction DNA RNA Molecular Conventional PCR Method: Molecular Extraction DNA RNA Reference of the test description As or adapted from an EPPO diagnostic protocol? As or adapted from an IPPC diagnostic protocol?	Short description of the test	CoDiRo from plant olive extracts by Conventional
Standard PM7/98? Is the lab accredited for this test? Was the validated data generated in the framework of a project? If yes, please specify Description of the test Organism(s) Xylella fastidiosa(XYLEFA) Detection / identification Matrix(ces) tested Plant species tested Method(s) Method(s) Molecular Extraction DNA RNA Molecular Conventional PCR Method: Molecular Extraction DNA RNA Reference of the test description As or adapted from an EPPO diagnostic protocol? New test being considered for inclusion in the next version of the EPPO diagnostic protocol? As or adapted from an IPPC diagnostic protocol? As or adapted from an IPPC diagnostic protocol?	Date, reference of the validation report	Modesti V, Lucchesi S.,Potere O., Saponari M 2017. Protocollo Diagnostico per XYLELLA FASTIDIOSA subsp. PAUCA ceppo CoDiRO. In Protocolli Diagnostici - ASPROPI- ISBN 9788899595722.pp.
Was the validated data generated in the framework of a project? If yes, please specify Description of the test Organism(s) Xylella fastidiosa(XYLEFA) Detection / identification Matrix(ces) tested Leaves leaves and petioles extracts Plant species tested Olea europaea Method(s) Molecular Extraction DNA RNA Molecular Conventional PCR Method: Molecular Extraction DNA RNA Reference of the test description As or adapted from an EPPO diagnostic protocol New test being considered for inclusion in the next version of the EPPO diagnostic protocol? As or adapted from an IPPC diagnostic protocol? As or adapted from an IPPC diagnostic protocol	Validation process according to EPPO Standard PM7/98?	yes
framework of a project? If yes, please specify Description of the test Organism(s) Xylella fastidiosa(XYLEFA) Detection / identification Matrix(ces) tested Leaves leaves and petioles extracts Plant species tested Method(s) Molecular Extraction DNA RNA Molecular Conventional PCR Method: Molecular Extraction DNA RNA Reference of the test description As or adapted from an EPPO diagnostic protocol New test being considered for inclusion in the next version of the EPPO diagnostic protocol? As or adapted from an IPPC diagnostic protocol? As or adapted from an IPPC diagnostic protocol?	Is the lab accredited for this test?	no
Description of the test Organism(s) Detection / identification Matrix(ces) tested Plant species tested Method(s) Method(s) Method: Molecular Extraction DNA RNA Molecular Conventional PCR Method: Molecular Extraction DNA RNA Molecular Conventional PCR Method: Molecular Extraction DNA RNA Molecular Conventional PCR Method: Molecular Extraction DNA RNA Molecular Conventional PCR Method: Molecular Extraction DNA RNA Molecular Extraction DNA RNA Molecular Conventional PCR Method: Molecular Extraction DNA RNA Molecular Extraction DNA RNA Molecular Conventional PCR Method: Molecular Extraction DNA RNA Molecular Extraction DNA RNA Molecular Conventional PCR Method: Molecular Extraction DNA RNA Molecular Extraction DNA RNA Molecular Conventional PCR Method: Molecular Extraction DNA RNA Molecular Extraction DNA RNA Molecular Conventional PCR Method: Molecular Extraction DNA RNA Molecular Extraction DNA	Was the validated data generated in the framework of a project?	
Organism(s) Xylella fastidiosa(XYLEFA) detection Matrix(ces) tested Leaves leaves and petioles extracts Plant species tested Method(s) Molecular Extraction DNA RNA Molecular Conventional PCR Method: Molecular Extraction DNA RNA Reference of the test description As or adapted from an EPPO diagnostic protocol New test being considered for inclusion in the next version of the EPPO diagnostic protocol? As or adapted from an IPPC diagnostic protocol? As or adapted from an IPPC diagnostic protocol	If yes, please specify	
Organism(s) Xylella fastidiosa(XYLEFA) detection Matrix(ces) tested Leaves leaves and petioles extracts Plant species tested Method(s) Molecular Extraction DNA RNA Molecular Conventional PCR Method: Molecular Extraction DNA RNA Reference of the test description As or adapted from an EPPO diagnostic protocol New test being considered for inclusion in the next version of the EPPO diagnostic protocol? As or adapted from an IPPC diagnostic protocol? As or adapted from an IPPC diagnostic protocol		
Detection / identification detection Matrix(ces) tested Leaves leaves and petioles extracts Plant species tested Olea europaea Method(s) Molecular Extraction DNA RNA Molecular Conventional PCR Method: Molecular Extraction DNA RNA Reference of the test description As or adapted from an EPPO diagnostic protocol New test being considered for inclusion in the next version of the EPPO diagnostic protocol? As or adapted from an IPPC diagnostic protocol? As or adapted from an IPPC diagnostic protocol	Description of the test	
Detection / identification detection Matrix(ces) tested Leaves leaves and petioles extracts Plant species tested Olea europaea Method(s) Molecular Extraction DNA RNA Molecular Conventional PCR Method: Molecular Extraction DNA RNA Reference of the test description As or adapted from an EPPO diagnostic protocol New test being considered for inclusion in the next version of the EPPO diagnostic protocol? As or adapted from an IPPC diagnostic protocol? As or adapted from an IPPC diagnostic protocol		
Matrix(ces) tested Plant species tested Method(s) Molecular Extraction DNA RNA Molecular Conventional PCR Method: Molecular Extraction DNA RNA Reference of the test description As or adapted from an EPPO diagnostic protocol New test being considered for inclusion in the next version of the EPPO diagnostic protocol? As or adapted from an IPPC diagnostic protocol?	Organism(s)	Xylella fastidiosa(XYLEFA)
Plant species tested Method(s) Molecular Extraction DNA RNA Molecular Conventional PCR Method: Molecular Extraction DNA RNA Reference of the test description As or adapted from an EPPO diagnostic protocol New test being considered for inclusion in the next version of the EPPO diagnostic protocol? As or adapted from an IPPC diagnostic protocol? As or adapted from an IPPC diagnostic protocol	Detection / identification	detection
Method(s) Molecular Extraction DNA RNA Molecular Conventional PCR Method: Molecular Extraction DNA RNA Reference of the test description As or adapted from an EPPO diagnostic protocol New test being considered for inclusion in the next version of the EPPO diagnostic protocol? As or adapted from an IPPC diagnostic protocol?	Matrix(ces) tested	Leaves leaves and petioles extracts
Method: Molecular Extraction DNA RNA Reference of the test description As or adapted from an EPPO diagnostic protocol New test being considered for inclusion in the next version of the EPPO diagnostic protocol? As or adapted from an IPPC diagnostic protocol?	Plant species tested	Olea europaea
Reference of the test description As or adapted from an EPPO diagnostic protocol New test being considered for inclusion in the next version of the EPPO diagnostic protocol? As or adapted from an IPPC diagnostic protocol	Method(s)	
As or adapted from an EPPO diagnostic protocol New test being considered for inclusion in the next version of the EPPO diagnostic protocol? As or adapted from an IPPC diagnostic protocol	Method: Molecular Extraction DNA RNA	
New test being considered for inclusion in the next version of the EPPO diagnostic protocol? As or adapted from an IPPC diagnostic protocol	Reference of the test description	
next version of the EPPO diagnostic protocol? As or adapted from an IPPC diagnostic protocol protocol	As or adapted from an EPPO diagnostic protocol	no
protocol	New test being considered for inclusion in the next version of the EPPO diagnostic protocol?	
Reference of the test DNA extraction by following LoConsole et al. (2014)	As or adapted from an IPPC diagnostic protocol	no
	Reference of the test	DNA extraction by following LoConsole et al. (2014)

	(procedure B)	
Is the test modified compared to the reference test		
Kit		
Is a kit used		
Other information		
Other details on the test		
Method: Molecular Conventional PCR		
Reference of the test description		
As or adapted from an EPPO diagnostic protocol	yes	
New test being considered for inclusion in the next version of the EPPO diagnostic protocol?		
EPPO Diagnostic Protocol name	PM 7/024 Xylella fastidiosa (version 1)	
Name of the test	Conventional PCR (Minsavage et al., 1994)	
As or adapted from an IPPC diagnostic protocol		
Is the test modified compared to the reference test		
Kit		
Is a kit used		
Other information		
Reaction type		
Other details on the test		
Are the performance characteristics included in the EPPO diagnostic protocol?	no	
Performance Criteria :		
Organism 1.:	Xylella fastidiosa(XYLEFA)	
Analytical sensitivity		
What is smallest amount of target that can be detected reliably?	10^4 CFU/ml	
Diagnostic sensitivity		
Proportion of infected/infested samples tested positive compared to results from the standard test, see appendix 2 of PM 7/98	0,47	
Standard test(s)	PCR according to Minsavage et al. (1994)	
Analytical specificity - inclusivity		
Number of strains/populations of target organisms tested		
Specificity value		
Analytical specificity - exclusivity		

Number of non-target organisms tested	
Specificity value	
Cross reacts with	
Diagnostic Specificity	
Proportion of uninfected/uninfested samples	100%
(true negatives) testing negative compared to results from a standard test	
Specify the test(s)	PCR according to Minsavage et al. (1994)
Reproducibility	
Provide the calculated % of agreement for a given level of the pest (see PM 7/98)	(Concordance) 85%
Repeatability	
Provide the calculated % of agreement for a given level of the pest (see PM 7/98)	(Accordance) 91%
Test performance study	
Test performance study?	yes
Brief details of the test performance study and its output. It available, link to published article/report	1. Two series of olive extracts spiked with ten fold dilution of Xylella fastidiosa CODiRo strain suspensions from 10^7 to 10 cfu/ml plus two healthy samples (16 samples in total) were tested in three different laboratories (CREA-PAV; CNR-IPSP; Plant Protection Service Lombardy) (NTC, healthy and infected olive extracts as control) for analytical sensitivity. 2. To check the diagnostic sensitivity and specificity, the accuracy, the repeatability and reproducibility, olive extract samples spiked with Xylella fastidiosa CODiRo strain suspensions at 10^6 cfu /ml (three repetitions), 10^4 cfu /ml (three repetitions), 10^3 cfu /ml (three repetitions) for a total of 12 samples, were tested by the following TPS participants: 1. CREA-DC (N. Pucci; S. Loreti) 2. SELGE/CNR-IPSP/ DISSPA-Uniba (M. Saponari, G. Loconsole; O. Potere) 3. PPS Piemonte (C. Morone, G. Mason) 4. PPS Friuli Venezia Giulia (G. Bianchi) 5. PPS Lombardia (F. Gaffuri) 6. PPS Emilia Romagna (A. Alessandrini; R. Gozzi) 7. PPS Trentino Alto Adige (V. Gualandri; L. Tessari) 8. PPS Marche (S. Nardi; S. Talevi) 9. PPS Liguria (M. Guelfi) 10. CIHEAM-IAMB (A.M. D'Onghia; M. Digiaro) 11. CRSFA (F. Palmisano) 12. Centro di Sperimentazione Agraria e Forestale, Laimburg (A. Gallmetzer; A. Kraus) 13. Uni-Ml (P. Casati) 14. Uni-CT (V. Catara) 15. PPS Toscana (D. Rizzo) 16. PPS Veneto (A. Saccardi; D. Pasqua di Bisceglie) Olive extract samples spiked with Xylella fastidiosa CODiRo strain suspensions at 10^6 cfu /ml (three repetitions), 10^4 cfu /ml (three repetitions), 10^4 cfu /ml (three repetitions), 10^3 cfu /ml (three repetitions) for a total of 12 samples, were tested by the following TPS participants: 1. CREA-DC (N. Pucci; S. Loreti) 2.

Sperimentazione Agraria e Forestale, Laimburg (A. Gallmetzer;A. Kraus) 13. Uni-MI (P. Casati) 14. Uni-CT (V. Catara) 15. PPS Toscana (D. Rizzo) 16. PPS Veneto (A. Saccardi; D. Pasqua di Bisceglie)
CIHEAM-IAMB (A.M. D'Onghia; M. Digiaro) 11. CRSFA (F. Palmisano) 12. Centro di
(S. Nardi; S. Talevi) 9. PPS Liguria (M. Guelfi) 10.
Romagna (A. Alessandrini; R. Gozzi) 7. PPS Trentino Alto Adige (V. Gualandri; L. Tessari) 8. PPS Marche
5. PPS Lombardia (F. Gaffuri) 6. PPS Emilia
Loconsole; O. Potere) 3. PPS Piemonte (C. Morone, G. Mason) 4. PPS Friuli Venezia Giulia (G. Bianchi)
SELGE/CNR-IPSP/ DiSSPA-Uniba (M. Saponari, G.

Creation date: 2015-10-28 00:00:00 - Last update: 2021-05-21 15:28:41