## EUROPEAN AND MEDITERRANEAN PLANT PROTECTION ORGANIZATION ORGANISATION EUROPEENNE ET MEDITERRANEENNE POUR LA PROTECTION DES PLANTES Summary sheet of validation data for a diagnostic test

The EPPO Standard PM 7/98 Specific requirements for laboratories preparing accreditation for a plant pest diagnostic activity describes how validation should be conducted. It also includes definitions of performance criteria.

	•
Laboratory contact details	Netherlands Institute for Vectors, Invasive plants and Plant health P.O. Box 9102, 6700 HC Wageningen, Netherlands
Short description of the test	Real-time PCR for detection and identification purposes of Xanthomonas fragariae
Date, reference of the validation report	2011-05-17 - 2010.Molbio.028
Validation process according to EPPO Standard PM7/98?	yes
Is the lab accredited for this test?	no
Was the validated data generated in the framework of a project?	no
Description of the test	
Organism(s)	Xanthomonas fragariae (XANTFR)
Detection / identification	detection and identification
Method(s)	Molecular Extraction DNA RNA Molecular Extraction DNA RNA (2) Molecular Extraction DNA RNA (3) Molecular real time PCR
Method: Molecular Extraction DNA RNA	
Reference of the test description	
As or adapted from an EPPO diagnostic protocol	no
As or adapted from an IPPC diagnostic protocol	no
Kit	
Is a kit used	yes
Manufacturer name	BIONOBILE
Specify the kit used	QuickPick Plant DNA kit
Kit used following the manufacturer's instructions?	
Other information	
Method: Molecular Extraction DNA RNA (2)	

As or adapted from an EPPO diagnostic protocol	no	
As or adapted from an IPPC diagnostic protocol	no	
Kit		
Is a kit used	yes	
Manufacturer name	ROCHE	
Specify the kit used	High Pure PCR Template Preparation Kit	
Kit used following the manufacturer's instructions?		
Other information		
Method: Molecular Extraction DNA RNA (3)		
Reference of the test description		
Other information		
Other details on the test	Boiling method	
Method: Molecular real time PCR		
Reference of the test description		
As or adapted from an EPPO diagnostic protocol	no	
As or adapted from an IPPC diagnostic protocol	no	
Reference of the test	Weller et al., 2007	
Other information		
Are the performance characteristics included in the EPPO diagnostic protocol?	no	
Performance Criteria :		
Organism 1.:	Xanthomonas fragariae(XANTFR)	
Analytical sensitivity		
What is smallest amount of target that can be detected reliably?	8,0x104 cfu/ml for detection in Fragaria extract and 7,8x104 cfu/ml for pure cultures.	
Diagnostic sensitivity		
Proportion of infected/infested samples tested positive compared to results from the standard test, see appendix 2 of PM 7/98	100%	
Standard test(s)	Isolates were identified according the EPPO standard PM 7/65, by using Fatty acid analysis, IF and the conventional PCR based on (Pooler et al., 1996).	
Analytical specificity - inclusivity		
Number of strains/populations of target organisms tested	19	
Specificity value	100%	

Number of non-target organisms tested   5		
Diagnostic Specificity	Analytical specificity - exclusivity	
Diagnostic Specificity  Proportion of uninfected/uninfested samples (true negatives) testing negative compared to results from a standard test  Specify the test(s)  Isolates were identified according the EPPO standard PM 7/65, by using Fatty acid analysis, IF and the conventional PCR based on (Pooler et al., 1996).  Reproducibility  Provide the calculated % of agreement for a given level of the pest (see PM 7/98)  Repeatability  Provide the calculated % of agreement for a given level of the pest (see PM 7/98)  Test performance study  Test performance study?  Other information  Any other Information considered useful  1. Selectivity: tested with 5 isolates of X. fragariae in 4 different matrices (leaf and rhizome of Fragaria variety Elsanta and Selva). The selectivity was valid when the samples diluted in the different matrices gave a positive signal inside the defined detection limit of 8,0x104 cfu/ml. This was true for all used matrices. However, the detection limit of fragaria variety Elsanta and Selve Jib. Selved than for variety Elsanta. 2. Robustness: This Felva than for variety Elsanta. 2. Robustness: This Felva than for variety Elsanta. 2. Robustness: This relava then PCR, for both detection in leaves and rhizomes and identification purposes, has been found robust for variations in the DNA extraction method. Three different DNA extraction method Three different DNA extraction method Three different DNA extraction of High Pure PCR Template preparation kit (Roche) 3. Boiling method Application of High Pure PCR Template preparation kit (Roche) resulted in a more sensitive detection.  The following complementary files are available  • Real-time PCR for detection and	Number of non-target organisms tested	6
Proportion of uninfected/uninfested samples (true negatives) testing negative compared to results from a standard test    Isolates were identified according the EPPO standard PM 7/65, by using Fatty acid analysis, IF and the conventional PCR based on (Pooler et al., 1996).    Reproducibility   Provide the calculated % of agreement for a given level of the pest (see PM 7/98)	Specificity value	100% no cross reaction
Isolates were identified according the EPPO standard PM 7/65, by using Fatty acid analysis, IF and the conventional PCR based on (Pooler et al., 1996).    Reproducibility	Diagnostic Specificity	
standard PM 7/65, by using Fatty acid analysis, IF and the conventional PCR based on (Pooler et al., 1996).  Reproducibility  Provide the calculated % of agreement for a given level of the pest (see PM 7/98)  Repeatability  Provide the calculated % of agreement for a given level of the pest (see PM 7/98)  Test performance study  Test performance study?  Other information  Any other information considered useful  1. Selectivity: tested with 5 isolates of X. fragariae in 4 different matrices (leaf and rhizome of Fragaria variety Eisanta and Selva). The selectivity was valid when the samples diluted in the different matrices gave a positive signal inside the defined detection limit of 8,0x104 cfu/ml. This was true for all used matrices. However, the detection limit of the real-time PCR in leaf and rhizome extract was found to be a factor 1,3 and 3,4 lower for variety Selva than for variety Elsanta. 2. Robustness: This real-time PCR, for both detection in leaves and rhizomes and identification purposes, has been found robust for variations in the DNA extraction method. Three different DNA extraction method. Three performed and scored equally well: 1. QuickPick Plant DNA Kit (Bio-Nobile, KingFish method) 2. High Pure PCR Template preparation kit (Roche) 3. Boiling method Application of High Pure PCR Template preparation kit (Roche) and more sensitive detection.	(true negatives) testing negative compared	100%
Provide the calculated % of agreement for a given level of the pest (see PM 7/98)  Repeatability  Provide the calculated % of agreement for a given level of the pest (see PM 7/98)  Test performance study  Test performance study?  Other information  Any other information considered useful  1. Selectivity: tested with 5 isolates of X. fragariae in 4 different matrices (leaf and rhizome of Fragaria variety Elsanta and Selva). The selectivity was valid when the samples diluted in the different matrices gave a positive signal inside the defined detection limit of 8,0x104 cfu/ml. This was true for all used matrices. However, the detection limit of the real-time PCR in leaf and rhizome extract was found to be a factor 1,3 and 3,4 lower for variety Selva than for variety Elsanta a. 2, Robustness: This real-time PCR, for both detection in leaves and rhizomes and identification purposes, has been found robust for variations in the DNA extraction method. Three different DNA extraction method ologies have been performed and scored equally well: 1. QuickPick Plant DNA kit (Bio-Nobile, KingFisher method) 2. High Pure PCR Template preparation kit (Roche) 3. Boiling method Application of High Pure PCR Template preparation kit (Roche) 1. Boiling method Application of High Pure PCR Template preparation kit (Roche) 2. Template preparation kit (Roche) 3. Boiling method Application of High Pure PCR Template preparation kit (Roche) 3. Boiling method Application of High Pure PCR Template preparation kit (Roche) 3. Boiling method 4. Boiling method 4. Boiling method 4. Boiling method 4. Boiling method 5. Preparation kit (Roche) 3. Boiling method 4. Boiling method 5. Boiling method 5	Specify the test(s)	standard PM 7/65, by using Fatty acid analysis, IF and the conventional PCR based on (Pooler et al.,
Repeatability  Provide the calculated % of agreement for a given level of the pest (see PM 7/98)  Test performance study  Test performance study?  Other information  Any other information considered useful  1. Selectivity: tested with 5 isolates of X. fragariae in 4 different matrices (leaf and rhizome of Fragaria variety Elsanta and Selva). The selectivity was valid when the samples diluted in the different matrices gave a positive signal inside the defined detection limit of 8,0x104 cfu/ml. This was true for all used matrices. However, the detection limit of the real-time PCR in leaf and rhizome extract was found to be a factor 1,3 and 3,4 lower for variety Selva than for variety Elsanta. 2. Robustness: This real-time PCR, for both detection in leaves and rhizomes and identification purposes, has been found robust for variations in the DNA extraction method. Three different DNA extraction method ologies have been performed and scored equally well: 1, QuickPick Plant DNA kit (Bio-Nobile, KingFisher method) 2. High Pure PCR Template preparation kit (Roche) 3. Boiling method Application of High Pure PCR Template preparation kit (Roche) 3. Boiling method Application of High Pure PCR Template preparation kit (Roche) resulted in a more sensitive detection.	Reproducibility	
Provide the calculated % of agreement for a given level of the pest (see PM 7/98)  Test performance study?  Test performation  Any other information considered useful  1. Selectivity: tested with 5 isolates of X. fragariae in 4 different matrices (leaf and rhizome of Fragaria variety Elsanta and Selva). The selectivity was valid when the samples diluted in the different matrices gave a positive signal inside the defined detection limit of 8,0x104 cfu/ml. This was true for all used matrices. However, the detection limit of the real-time PCR in leaf and rhizome extract was found to be a factor 1,3 and 3,4 lower for variety Selva than for variety Elsanta. 2. Robustness: This real-time PCR, for both detection in leaves and rhizomes and identification purposes, has been found robust for variations in the DNA extraction method. Three different DNA extraction methodologies have been performed and scored equally well: 1. QuickPick Plant DNA kit (Bio-Nobile, KingFisher method) 2. High Pure PCR Template preparation kit (Roche) 3. Boiling method Application of High Pure PCR Template preparation kit (Roche) 3. Boiling method Application of High Pure PCR Template preparation kit (Roche) 3. Boiling method Application of High Pure PCR Template preparation kit (Roche) 3. Boiling method Application of High Pure PCR Template preparation kit (Roche) 3. Boiling method Application of High Pure PCR Template preparation kit (Roche) 3. Boiling method Application of High Pure PCR Template preparation kit (Roche) 3. Boiling method Application of High Pure PCR Template preparation kit (Roche) 3. Boiling method Application of High Pure PCR Template preparation kit (Roche) 3. Boiling method Application of High Pure PCR Template preparation kit (Roche) 3. Boiling method Application of High Pure PCR Template preparation kit (Roche) 3. Boiling method Application of High Pure PCR Template preparation kit (Roche) 3. Boiling method Application of High Pure PCR Template		100%
Test performance study?  Test performance study?  Other information  Any other information considered useful  1. Selectivity: tested with 5 isolates of X. fragariae in 4 different matrices (leaf and rhizome of Fragaria variety Elsanta and Selva). The selectivity was valid when the samples diluted in the different matrices gave a positive signal inside the defined detection limit of 8,0x104 cfu/ml. This was true for all used matrices. However, the detection limit of the real-time PCR in leaf and rhizome extract was found to be a factor 1,3 and 3,4 lower for variety Selva than for variety Elsanta. 2. Robustness: This real-time PCR, for both detection in leaves and rhizomes and identification purposes, has been found robust for variations in the DNA extraction method. Three different DNA extraction method logies have been performed and scored equally well: 1. QuickPick Plant DNA Kit (Bio-Nobile, KingFisher method) 2. High Pure PCR Template preparation kit (Roche) 3. Boiling method Application of High Pure PCR Template preparation kit (Roche) 3. Boiling method Application of High Pure PCR Template preparation kit (Roche) resulted in a more sensitive detection.	Repeatability	
Test performance study?  Other information  Any other information considered useful  1. Selectivity: tested with 5 isolates of X. fragariae in 4 different matrices (leaf and rhizome of Fragaria variety Elsanta and Selva). The selectivity was valid when the samples diluted in the different matrices gave a positive signal inside the defined detection limit of 8,0x104 cfu/ml. This was true for all used matrices. However, the detection limit of the real-time PCR in leaf and rhizome extract was found to be a factor 1,3 and 3,4 lower for variety Selva than for variety Elsanta. 2. Robustness: This real-time PCR, for both detection in leaves and rhizomes and identification purposes, has been found robust for variations in the DNA extraction method. Three different DNA extraction methodologies have been performed and scored equally well: 1. QuickPick Plant DNA Kit (Bio-Nobile, KingFisher method) 2. High Pure PCR Template preparation kit (Roche) 3. Boiling method Application of High Pure PCR Template preparation kit (Roche) 3. Boiling method Application of High Pure PCR Template preparation kit (Roche) 3. Boiling method Application of High Pure PCR Template preparation kit (Roche) 3. Boiling method Application of High Pure PCR Template preparation kit (Roche) 3. Boiling method Application of High Pure PCR Template preparation kit (Roche) 3. Boiling method Application of High Pure PCR Template preparation kit (Roche) 3. Boiling method Application of High Pure PCR Template preparation kit (Roche) 3. Boiling method Application of High Pure PCR Template preparation kit (Roche) 3. Boiling method Application of High Pure PCR Template preparation kit (Roche) 3. Boiling method Application of High Pure PCR Template preparation kit (Roche) 3. Boiling method Application of High Pure PCR Template preparation kit (Roche) 3. Boiling method Application and Boiling Method Application		100%
Other information  1. Selectivity: tested with 5 isolates of X. fragariae in 4 different matrices (leaf and rhizome of Fragaria variety Elsanta and Selva). The selectivity was valid when the samples diluted in the different matrices gave a positive signal inside the defined detection limit of 8,0x104 cfu/ml. This was true for all used matrices. However, the detection limit of the real-time PCR in leaf and rhizome extract was found to be a factor 1,3 and 3,4 lower for variety Selva than for variety Elsanta. 2. Robustness: This real-time PCR, for both detection in leaves and rhizomes and identification purposes, has been found robust for variations in the DNA extraction method. Three different DNA extraction methodologies have been performed and scored equally well: 1. QuickPick Plant DNA Kit (Bio-Nobile, KingFisher method) 2. High Pure PCR Template preparation kit (Roche) 3. Boiling method Application of High Pure PCR Template preparation kit (Roche) resulted in a more sensitive detection.  The following complementary files are available  * Real-time PCR for detection and	Test performance study	
1. Selectivity: tested with 5 isolates of X. fragariae in 4 different matrices (leaf and rhizome of Fragaria variety Elsanta and Selva). The selectivity was valid when the samples diluted in the different matrices gave a positive signal inside the defined detection limit of 8,0x104 cfu/ml. This was true for all used matrices. However, the detection limit of the real-time PCR in leaf and rhizome extract was found to be a factor 1,3 and 3,4 lower for variety Selva than for variety Elsanta. 2. Robustness: This real-time PCR, for both detection in leaves and rhizomes and identification purposes, has been found robust for variations in the DNA extraction method. Three different DNA extraction method logies have been performed and scored equally well: 1. QuickPick Plant DNA Kit (Bio-Nobile, KingFisher method) 2. High Pure PCR Template preparation kit (Roche) 3. Boiling method Application of High Pure PCR Template preparation kit (Roche) resulted in a more sensitive detection.  The following complementary files are available  • Real-time PCR for detection and	Test performance study?	no
in 4 different matrices (leaf and rhizome of Fragaria variety Elsanta and Selva). The selectivity was valid when the samples diluted in the different matrices gave a positive signal inside the defined detection limit of 8,0x104 cfu/ml. This was true for all used matrices. However, the detection limit of the real-time PCR in leaf and rhizome extract was found to be a factor 1,3 and 3,4 lower for variety Selva than for variety Elsanta. 2. Robustness: This real-time PCR, for both detection in leaves and rhizomes and identification purposes, has been found robust for variations in the DNA extraction method. Three different DNA extraction method logies have been performed and scored equally well: 1. QuickPick Plant DNA Kit (Bio-Nobile, KingFisher method) 2. High Pure PCR Template preparation kit (Roche) 3. Boiling method Application of High Pure PCR Template preparation kit (Roche) resulted in a more sensitive detection.	Other information	
	Any other information considered useful	in 4 different matrices (leaf and rhizome of Fragaria variety Elsanta and Selva). The selectivity was valid when the samples diluted in the different matrices gave a positive signal inside the defined detection limit of 8,0x104 cfu/ml. This was true for all used matrices. However, the detection limit of the real-time PCR in leaf and rhizome extract was found to be a factor 1,3 and 3,4 lower for variety Selva than for variety Elsanta. 2. Robustness: This real-time PCR, for both detection in leaves and rhizomes and identification purposes, has been found robust for variations in the DNA extraction method. Three different DNA extraction methodologies have been performed and scored equally well: 1. QuickPick Plant DNA Kit (Bio-Nobile, KingFisher method) 2.
		Template preparation kit (Roche) resulted in a

Creation date: 2013-05-28 00:00:00 - Last update: 2021-01-21 18:34:42