

**EUROPEAN AND MEDITERRANEAN PLANT PROTECTION ORGANIZATION
ORGANISATION EUROPEENNE ET MEDITERRANEENNE POUR LA PROTECTION DES PLANTES
Summary sheet of validation data for a diagnostic test**

The EPPO Standard PM 7/98 *Specific requirements for laboratories preparing accreditation for a plant pest diagnostic activity* describes how validation should be conducted. It also includes definitions of performance criteria.

| | |
|--|--|
| Laboratory contact details | Council for Agricultural Research and Economics- Research Centre for Plant Protection and Certification Via Carlo Giuseppe Bertero, 22, 00156 Rome, Italy |
| Short description of the test | Detection of <i>Phyllosticta citricarpa</i> by PCR (Bonants et al. 2003) |
| Date, reference of the validation report | 2014-09-15 - |
| Validation process according to EPPO Standard PM7/98? | yes |
| Is the lab accredited for this test? | no |
| Was the validated data generated in the framework of a project? | no |
| Description of the test | |
| Organism(s) | <i>Phyllosticta citricarpa</i> (GUIGCI) |
| Detection / identification | detection |
| Method(s) | Molecular Extraction DNA RNA Molecular Conventional PCR |
| Method: Molecular Extraction DNA RNA | |
| Reference of the test description | |
| Kit | |
| Is a kit used | yes |
| Manufacturer name | MACHEREY-NAGEL |
| Specify the kit used | Nucleospin plant II kit |
| Kit used following the manufacturer's instructions? | yes |
| Other information | |
| Method: Molecular Conventional PCR | |
| Reference of the test description | |
| As or adapted from an EPPO diagnostic protocol | yes |
| EPPO Diagnostic Protocol name | PM 7/017 <i>Guignardia citricarpa</i> (version 2) |
| Name of the test | Conventional PCR (Bonants et al., 2003) |

| | |
|--|--|
| Other information | |
| Other details on the test | See details in report |
| Are the performance characteristics included in the EPPO diagnostic protocol? | no |
| Performance Criteria : | |
| Organism 1.: | Phyllosticta citricarpa(GUIGCI) |
| Analytical sensitivity | |
| What is smallest amount of target that can be detected reliably? | 20 pg of DNA |
| Analytical specificity - inclusivity | |
| Number of strains/populations of target organisms tested | 4 target strains for PCR |
| Specificity value | |
| Analytical specificity - exclusivity | |
| Number of non-target organisms tested | 3 non-target strains (see validation report) |
| Specificity value | 100% No cross reaction |
| Reproducibility | |
| Provide the calculated % of agreement for a given level of the pest (see PM 7/98) | 100% |
| Repeatability | |
| Provide the calculated % of agreement for a given level of the pest (see PM 7/98) | 100% |
| Test performance study | |
| Test performance study? | yes |
| Brief details of the test performance study and its output.It available, link to published article/report | The robustness of the method was verified through a Test Performance Study among 7 laboratories. For each lab 6 positive samples (3 containing the target DNA slightly above the relative limit of detection and 3 containing the target DNA ten times the relative limit of detection) and 6 negative samples (3 containing no DNA and 3 containing DNA of non-target strains) were tested. The results showed: -100% relative sensitivity -100% relative specificity -100% repeatability -100% reproducibility |
| Other information | |
| Any other information considered useful | The verification of performance criteria did not give the same value as the limit of detection defined in the EPPO bulletin PM 7/17 (20 pg instead of 1pg), so a new validation was performed See full report for details or contact lab1 |
| | |
| The following complementary files are available online: | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Validation process of the conventional PCR for the identification of Phyllosticta citricarpa (Bonants et al., 2003) |

