EUROPEAN AND MEDITERRANEAN PLANT PROTECTION ORGANIZATION ORGANISATION EUROPEENNE ET MEDITERRANEENNE POUR LA PROTECTION DES PLANTES Summary sheet of validation data for a diagnostic test

The EPPO Standard PM 7/98 Specific requirements for laboratories preparing accreditation for a plant pest diagnostic activity describes how validation should be conducted. It also includes definitions of performance criteria.

Laboratory contact details	EUPH DNA Barcoding , , EUPHRESCO	
Short description of the test	DNA Barcoding - Optimizing and validating DNA barcoding protocols for Plant	
Date, reference of the validation report	2016-06-30 - Final_report_DNA barcoding.doc	
Validation process according to EPPO Standard PM7/98?	yes	
Is the lab accredited for this test?	no	
Was the validated data generated in the framework of a project?	Euphresco	
If yes, please specify	EUPHRESCO DNA Barcoding - Optimizing and validating DNA barcoding protocols for plant pests	
Description of the test		
Organism(s)	Ludwigia peploides (LUDPE) Ludwigia grandiflora (LUDUR) Hydrocotyle ranunculoides (HYDRA) Hydrocotyle vulgaris (HYDVU) Myriophyllum heterophyllum (MYPHE)	
Detection / identification	identification	
Method(s)	Molecular other	
Method: Molecular other		
Reference of the test description		
As or adapted from an EPPO diagnostic protocol	yes	
EPPO Diagnostic Protocol name	PM 7/129 DNA barcoding as an identification tool for a number of regulated pests (version 1)	
As or adapted from an IPPC diagnostic protocol	no	
Is the test modified compared to the reference test	no	
Kit		
Is a kit used	no	
Other information		

Other details on the test	BIO-X-ACT™ Short Mix (Bioline) See report for details about the primers & protocols	
Are the performance characteristics included in the EPPO diagnostic protocol?	yes	
Performance Criteria:		
Organism 1.:	Ludwigia peploides(LUDPE)	
Analytical sensitivity		
What is smallest amount of target that can be detected reliably?	See report	
Diagnostic sensitivity		
Proportion of infected/infested samples tested positive compared to results from the standard test, see appendix 2 of PM 7/98	50% (100% after re-analysing the consensus sequence data provided by TPS participants)	
Analytical specificity - inclusivity		
Number of strains/populations of target organisms tested	See report	
Specificity value		
Analytical specificity - exclusivity		
Number of non-target organisms tested	See report	
Specificity value		
Reproducibility		
Provide the calculated % of agreement for a given level of the pest (see PM 7/98)	See report	
Organism 2.:	Ludwigia grandiflora(LUDUR)	
Analytical sensitivity		
What is smallest amount of target that can be detected reliably?	See report	
Diagnostic sensitivity		
Proportion of infected/infested samples tested positive compared to results from the standard test, see appendix 2 of PM 7/98	63% (100% after re-analysing the consensus sequence data provided by TPS participants)	
Analytical specificity - inclusivity		
Number of strains/populations of target organisms tested	See report	
Specificity value		
Analytical specificity - exclusivity		
Number of non-target organisms tested	See report	
Specificity value		
Reproducibility		
Provide the calculated % of agreement for a given level of the pest (see PM 7/98)	See report	
	<u>I</u>	

	·	
Organism 3.:	Hydrocotyle ranunculoides(HYDRA)	
Analytical sensitivity		
What is smallest amount of target that can be detected reliably?	See report	
Diagnostic sensitivity		
Proportion of infected/infested samples tested positive compared to results from the standard test, see appendix 2 of PM 7/98	75% (100% after re-analysing the consensus sequence data provided by TPS participants)	
Analytical specificity - inclusivity		
Number of strains/populations of target organisms tested	See report	
Specificity value		
Analytical specificity - exclusivity		
Number of non-target organisms tested	See report	
Specificity value		
Reproducibility		
Provide the calculated % of agreement for a given level of the pest (see PM 7/98)	See report	
Organism 4.:	Hydrocotyle vulgaris(HYDVU)	
Analytical sensitivity		
What is smallest amount of target that can be detected reliably?	See report	
<u>Diagnostic sensitivity</u>		
Proportion of infected/infested samples tested positive compared to results from the standard test, see appendix 2 of PM 7/98	63% (100% after re-analysing the consensus sequence data provided by TPS participants)	
Analytical specificity - inclusivity	Analytical specificity - inclusivity	
Number of strains/populations of target organisms tested	See report	
Specificity value		
Analytical specificity - exclusivity		
Number of non-target organisms tested	See report	
Specificity value		
Reproducibility		
Provide the calculated % of agreement for a given level of the pest (see PM 7/98)	See report	
Organism 5.:	Myriophyllum heterophyllum(MYPHE)	
Analytical sensitivity		
What is smallest amount of target that can be detected reliably?	See report	
Diagnostic sensitivity		
L		

	·	
Proportion of infected/infested samples tested positive compared to results from the standard test, see appendix 2 of PM 7/98	88% (100% after re-analysing the consensus sequence data provided by TPS participants)	
Analytical specificity - inclusivity		
Number of strains/populations of target organisms tested	See report	
Specificity value		
Analytical specificity - exclusivity		
Number of non-target organisms tested	See report	
Specificity value		
Reproducibility		
Provide the calculated % of agreement for a given level of the pest (see PM 7/98)	See report	
Test performance study		
Test performance study?	yes	
Brief details of the test performance study and its output.It available, link to published article/report	Test performance study involving 10 laboratories (8 of which provided data). See report for more information.	

Creation date: 2020-07-01 14:50:01 - Last update: 2025-06-12 20:42:55